```
1
                 COURT OF COMMON PLEAS
 2
                 HAMILTON COUNTY, OHIO
 3
 4
    STATE OF OHIO,
 5
               Plaintiff. :
 6
    VS.
                              :Case Number B1003262
 7
    RUBEN JORDAN,
                            :Appeal Number C1100833
 8
              Defendant. :Volume I of X
 9
10
11
                TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
12
13
    APPEARANCES:
14
         Seth S. Tieger, Esq.
         Megan E. Shanahan, Esq.
15
              On behalf of the State of Ohio.
         William P. Whalen, Jr., Esq.
16
         Amy R. Williams, Esq.
17
              On behalf of the Defendant.
18
19
20
              BE IT REMEMBERED that upon the Jury
21
    Trial of this cause, on January 10, 2011, before
22
    the Honorable NADINE L. ALLEN, a judge of the
23
    said court, the following proceedings were had,
24
    to wit:
25
```

MORNING SESSION, January 10, 2011 1 2 THE COURT: Okay. Regarding the 3 matter of Ruben Jordan. Presently it's 4 on Case Number B1003262. State vs. Ruben 5 Jordan. At this time, Counsel, what we 6 are going to ask the bailiff to do is we 7 would like to call the Jury Commission 8 and preserve 30 first-week jury members. we would like to do that now. And we 9 10 would like to have them sent to an early lunch, which will be now, and we are 11 12 going to commence this jury at 1:00. 13 Counsel are present. State your names. 14 Seth Tieger for the State. 15 have -- State? I mean, I'm sorry --16 yeah, for the State. 17 MR. TIEGER: Seth Tieger and Megan 18 Shanahan. THE COURT: For the defendant? 19 20 MR. WHALEN: Bill Whalen. 21 MS. WILLIAMS: Amy Williams. 22 THE COURT: Ms. Williams, are you 23 assigned on as designated counsel yet? 24 MS. WILLIAMS: I have not. She 25 said she was going to type me up

1 something.

THE COURT: We are going to ask that now for the record, Counsel is asking in this matter, you would like to have jury questionnaires right now?

MR. WHALEN: Yes.

THE COURT: So we are going to ask -- we get the jury questionnaires and we'll start this jury proceeding at 1:00 p.m. this afternoon, and the jury is to be collected, preserved and sent to lunch. Select 30 first-week jurors.

BAILIFF: Preserve.

THE COURT: Preserve them right now and then send them to lunch and tell them they are going to be back to the jury at one, not here, we'll go up and get them.

Okay. 1:00 p.m. to return to the Jury

Commission, and we'll pick them up.

We would like to have your questionnaires right now, Counsel needs their questionnaires now. Okay. Did that cover everything we were discussing, Counsel?

MR. TIEGER: I think that sounds

```
good, Judge.
 1
 2
                 THE COURT: Okay. All right. And
 3
           so let us wait until you --
                 MR. TIEGER: We'll just wait here
 4
 5
           until --
 6
                 THE COURT: Okay. That might take
 7
           a while.
 8
                  (Luncheon recess.)
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

AFTERNOON SESSION, January 10, 2011 1 2 THE COURT: I'm going to ask 3 persons on this side of the room seated 4 in the back to move to the opposite side 5 of the room because we are going to use 6 the jury. No, ma'am. Ma'am, you have to 7 move on the other side also. 8 MR. WHALEN: She works for me. 9 THE COURT: She can stay because she's in front of the bar there. So I'm 10 11 going to ask, Counsel, you ready to 12 proceed now? 13 MR. TIEGER: Yes, Your Honor. 14 MS. SHANAHAN: Yes. 15 THE COURT: Okay. Bring in the 16 jury. MR. BRENNER: All rise for the 17 18 jury. 19 (The jury entering the courtroom at 20 1:15 p.m.) 21 THE COURT: And we have them 22 seated. All right. Is everyone seated? Now, in order -- I think there is some 23 24 people that got out of order a little 25 bit. You may all be seated. Where is

he? Why is he standing up in the back? Okay. All right.

Ladies and gentlemen of the jury, good afternoon. I am Judge Nadine Allen. I will be presiding over this trial. You have been summoned as prospective jurors in a case involving the State of Ohio vs. Ruben Jordan, the defendant, in this case.

Service as a juror is one of the most important functions and part of a democracy that we enjoy in the United States. It's one of the most valuable civic duties that you'll ever be asked to perform.

So trial by jury is what we possess in the United States. The preservation of this right is strengthened by your willingness to respond to the call for juror duty. So we appreciate you already for just coming in here today, for getting dressed, finding transportation, arriving on time and being here right now. We appreciate every bit of that, and so do the parties involved in this

1 case.

So it is recognized that some of you might be making a sacrifice although we will be paying for parking. I believe the fee should cover parking. But on behalf of the Court and the community, I do want to commend you, and I want to thank you right now for being here at this very important moment in this trial.

What a jury trial begins with is the selection of jury. But before I do that, I would like to introduce the parties who will be taking part in this case today. The attorneys for the State of Ohio are? Would you stand up. Seth Tieg (sic).

MR. TIEGER: Good among everyone.

Good afternoon.

THE COURT: And also Megan Shanahan.

MS. SHANAHAN: Good afternoon, everyone.

THE COURT: And they will be referred to as the prosecutor in this case. The attorney for the -- for the

defendant are Mr. William Whalen, would
you stand?

MR. WHALEN: Good morning -- or good afternoon.

THE COURT: And also Amy Williams.

MS. WILLIAMS: Good afternoon.

THE COURT: The defendant, Ruben

Jordan, is seated by attorney Amy

Williams, and they may be referred to

throughout this trial as the defense.

The words attorneys, the law and counsel

are all interchangeable. And references

to the Court usually means to me as a

judge or something that this Court is

doing.

I would like to introduce the courtroom, my staff, my bailiff Dee-Dee, just raise your hand today because she has a little foot/ankle injury right now, and she will help with the coordination of courtroom activities and see that your needs are met. And, obviously, also you have already met Mr. Scott Brenner, who is also my bailiff, acting as bailiff in these proceedings.

_	3
1	I'm going to administer the oath
2	and also the clerk, they maintain
3	official court documents. And now I'm
4	going to roll call to make sure we have
5	everybody in the right place and I'm
6	going to administer your oath of office.
7	Leon Rozier. Plus, I want to get the
8	proper pronunciation.
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR ROZIER: Rozier.
10	THE COURT: Rozier.
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR ROZIER: Rozier.
12	THE COURT: Is number one. And
13	Lena McKinney and Beverly Messerschmitt.
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR MESSERSCHMITT:
15	Yeah. Messerschmitt, yes.
16	THE COURT: Kalisha Williams.
17	Carmen McKeehan.
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR MCKEEHAN: Yes.
19	THE COURT: Brian Fitzgerald.
20	Janet Binkley.
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR BINKLEY: Yes.
22	THE COURT: Sally Coffman. Michael
23	Smith. Is there another way to pronounce
24	that?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR COFFMAN: No.
	II

1 THE COURT: Okay. That was a 2 little attempted humor there. Catherine 3 Heintz, Heintz. 4 PROSPECTIVE JUROR HEINTZ: Heintz. 5 THE COURT: Heintz. 6 PROSPECTIVE JUROR HEINTZ: Yes. THE COURT: Christine Bessey. 7 8 PROSPECTIVE JUROR BESSEY: That is 9 correct. THE COURT: And Michael Burke. 10 11 PROSPECTIVE JUROR BURKE: Yes. 12 THE COURT: All right. We said the 13 names properly. We do have some prospective jurors. I think I will call 14 15 their names too so we don't forget to do 16 that. Number 13, where are you located? 17 Are we in the right seat? Number 13. 18 MR. TIEGER: Judge, I don't know if 19 they know their numbers. 20 THE COURT: They may not be on right numbers, just have them get seated, 21 22 we are going do this this way. Thirteen, so that you know, Number 13 is Dorothy 23 24 Spencer, correct? Ms. Spencer. Okay. Number 14, raise your hand. David Burck, 25

_	1
1	Number 14. Okay. David Burck, you're
2	Number 14. Where are you? There you
3	are. Number 14, so that we know. Gary
4	Obst. Obst is that the right
5	pronunciation?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR OBST: Obst.
7	THE COURT: Obst. You're Number
8	15. Carol Krieg, you are 16. Did I say
9	that right?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR KRIEG: Hum.
11	THE COURT: Sherri Kemper, Number
12	17. Sarah Lavelle.
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR LAVELLE: Yes.
14	THE COURT: How do you say that?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR LAVELLE:
16	Lavelle is correct.
17	THE COURT: Gregory Hand, you are
18	Number 19. Christine Bernhard, you are
19	20.
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR BERNHARD:
21	Bernhard. Bernhard.
22	THE COURT: Okay. Bernhard.
23	Jessie Ricketts, Number 21. Christopher
24	Powers, you're number 22. Scott Shelton,
25	you are Number 23. Edward Cisko, you're
	II

number 24. Jerry Drury, you're number 1 2 25. Edward Korb, you are number 26. 3 Richard Cross, you are Number 27. Dejuan 4 Sheffield, you're number 28. Did I say 5 that right? 6 PROSPECTIVE JURFOR SHEFFIELD: Yes, 7 ma'am. 8 THE COURT: Erika Kellogg, you're 9 number 29. Amanda Decenso, number 30. 10 And did I say your name correct? 11 PROSPECTIVE JUROR DECENSO: Yes. 12 THE COURT: Okay. Then so we now 13 have all the jurors. Remember your own 14 number. Okay. Because at this time I 15 would like for you to stand so I can 16 administer the oath to you. Prospective 17 jurors, you all stand up, please, and 18 raise your right hands. 19 (The prospective jurors sworn.) 20 THE COURT: You may be seated. 21 Thank you. I have a couple more 22 instructions to state, and then we will 23 move to the questioning. 24 So that you know what you're doing here today, the State of Ohio and the 25

defendant are entitled to jurors who will approach this case with open minds, and agree to keep their minds open until a verdict is reached. Jurors must be as free as humanly possible from bias, prejudice and sympathy, and must not be influenced by any preconceived ideas as to the facts or the law. You are each undoubtedly qualified to serve as jurors. There may be something, however, that could disqualify you in this particular case, and we will get into details in a moment.

At this time the Court and counsel will ask you questions. These questions are not designed to pry into your personal affairs, but to discover if you have any knowledge of this case, if you have any preconceived opinion that you cannot lay aside, or if you have had any experience that may cause you to identify yourself with either party. If the answer to a question asked by either the attorneys or myself would cause any of you embarrassment, you may raise your

hand and we will approach, let you come here at sidebar and you can answer that privately. And we will do so at the sidebar, that bench, and do a white noise machine so that nobody can hear the responses.

If you cannot hear the question asked, please indicate by raising your hand so that I may speak louder or more clearly, or so that the parties can speak louder.

The questions I'm going to ask will be directed to all of -- they are directed to those seated in the jury box as well as those seated in the back of the courtroom.

Whether you are in the jury box or the back of the courtroom, please raise your hand if you have an answer to any questions that are asked of you.

Although I have a seating chart here we have already stated your name but we ask you to state your name for the purpose of the record. When you give an answer, if you would state your name to make sure

that you are the right person. Sometimes we hear a voice and we don't know where it's coming from. That's for the record, also for a potential Court of Appeals or transcripts to some other higher court.

Each prospective juror has the right to request an in camera or private hearing, and I already mentioned that. In this regard, the Court is not required to hold an in-camera hearing unless the information that is contained in the response to the question put to the perspective juror is requested by any person. All right.

Now, the defendant in this case,
Ruben Jordan, has been charged with the
offenses of aggravated murder with
specification and also having a weapon
while under a disability. The details of
this charge are the subject of our
inquiry in this trial, and we are not
going to give any facts yet at this time.
I believe the attorneys are going to
cover that in their questioning. That
will come out during questioning and

during opening statements.

At this time, I tend not to ask a lot of questions of the jury because I believe the jury may feel slightly intimidated, or think they need to give a right answer because the Judge is asking the question, and we do want you to -- instead to feel very comfortable with what you're about to say what your answers would be and because lawyers tend to ask the same thing anyway.

So what I'm going to do now, I'm going to turn this over to my esteemed counsels, all four of them, and to have them commence with what we are going to call the voir dire process. You do understand that there are -- there is such a thing as peremptory challenges and that each side does have four peremptory challenges that they may exercise. What we do not want you to do is take that personally and feel like you have flunked something or failed as a person. In fact, you've probably seen a tape at the Jury Commission Office. And it's

_

because, again, there may be a tendency to favor one side or the other, or they feel for some reason that you are not as unbiased or have sympathy or any reason, but it's not going to be disclosed. So, you don't need to think or worry about what the reason is, but you are definitely qualified to serve on a jury, and we will tell you where to go after that.

There may be reasons why you may be excused because for cause because you indicated that you're unable to, no matter what, you're unable to make a decision in this particular case. And you can state that, and there is a process for determining that also.

At this time, there may be also from time to time where there might be conferences here on the sidebar. There is a variety of legal reasons why that may be happening. Mainly because we don't want something improper to be said before the jury, because once something is stated it's been stated. It might be

an improper question. It could be 1 2 something highly prejudicial, or the 3 wrong evidence. There are right legal 4 reasons and he want you to be able to 5 evaluate the right evidence that you 6 should be hearing, however you may be 7 receiving in this case. 8 So I usually will turn on the white 9 noise machine and ask that you stand up 10 and talk among yourselves about anything 11 except this case. Okay. 12 At this time, Mr. Prosecutor, you 13 may proceed. 14 MR. WHALEN: Your Honor, could we 15 approach the bench for a moment --16 THE COURT: All right. 17 MR. WHALEN: -- before we start. 18 THE COURT: Okay. 19 (Unreported sidebar conference.) 20 THE COURT: All right then. We are 21 ready to proceed, and we have both 22 prosecutors and both defense attorneys may be asking you questions, too. And 23 24 they shouldn't be redundant, they're going to try not to be, and at this time 25

1 you may start, Mr. Tieger. 2 MR. TIEGER: Thank you, Judge. 3 everybody is told, my name is Seth 4 Tieger. This is Megan Shanahan. We are 5 going to be presenting the case for the 6 State of Ohio over the next few days. I 7 don't recognize anybody here. I don't 8 know if anybody recognizes me or Ms. 9 Shanahan. No. 10 Do any of you know -- Joe Deters is 11 our boss, who's the elected prosecutor. 12 Do any of you know Mr. Deters or any 13 other prosecutor at all? Your name, sir? 14 PROSPECTIVE JUROR HAND: Greg Hand. 15 MR. TIEGER: Mr. Hand, kind of the 16 way I think this is going to work is that 17 it's real hard to talk to you. 18 THE COURT: Let me turn that -- no, 19 I'll just turn the volume down. 20 MR. TIEGER: Thanks, Judge. 21 There. THE COURT: 22 MR. TIEGER: It's real hard to talk 23 to you folks, and then this group at one time, so basically I think what we're 24 25 going to ask you to do, Mr. Hand, is I

24

25

appreciate you raising your hand, letting us know, and if there is an answer to any questions, if you can just raise your hand and Ms. Shanahan and I will make note of it. And Mr. Whalen and Ms. Williams will make a note of it and then as this process goes, I think you'll see whether it's later on today or will come back. Certainly if ever you will be excused and certainly if you will stay and then certain of you, in the order that we talked about, starting with Ms. Spencer, Mr. Burke and so forth will be moved up into this panel. And then as you are -- we would already, we are doing our homework, have a note of who raised their hand and what it's about and question you about that at that point.

Um, it looks like all of you are first-day jurors, is that fair to say?

PROSPECTIVE JURORS: Uh-huh.

MR. TIEGER: And so none of you would have really done a jury, this would be your first one on your tour of duty.

It looks like some of you have sat on

1

3

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

juries in the past. And when we start to talk to you I get to ask you about that at the time. But the basic rule is that -- and the reason this has taken a little bit of time is that I don't know if you remember filling out these questionnaires. When you do there is a whole process in effect to where they make copies of those questionnaires of everybody, actually all the jurors and then a copy is given to Ms. Shanahan and myself. A copy is given to Mr. Whalen and Ms. Williams, and a copy is given to Judge Allen. So we took a few minutes to review those questionnaires before we talked to you so everybody would have a copy of your questionnaires.

As far as jury service, just real briefly, I know it's a two-week process. And just by way of scheduling, and Judge Allen can correct me if I'm wrong here, that I think normally we would start, the Court has other cases on its dockets, a lot of other cases, and those usually take place in the morning as well, so

we'd probably be starting in the ten to 10:30 range every day, I think, and then I think we'd normally end the day probably around four or so.

THE COURT: Not past five.

MR. TIEGER: Sometime between the four, 4:30 range. And then there would be a time for lunch. And then during that time, there probably would be a couple ten to 15-minute breaks as well to refresh yourself or just take a short break. Is everybody okay with that type of schedule?

PROSPECTIVE JURORS: Uh-huh.

MR. TIEGER: And the way I'm kind of understanding this is that normally what we ask is that with this trial, as you heard, it's an aggravated murder trial. So it's not going to be a short trial, it will go into next week for sure. We are thinking it's going to be over probably the middle -- towards the end of next week. I guess there is always a very, very small chance it could go into that third week. Is everybody

_		19
1	okay if it does that? Does anybody have	
2	travel plans or any reason they couldn't	
3	be here? I guess, it would be Monday,	
4	the 24th, or let's see, Mr. Burke.	
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR BURKE: Yes.	
6	Going in the third week would be a	
7	problem for me with work.	
8	MR. TIEGER: Okay. So you would	
9	have to end your service by the 21st?	
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR BURKE: I could	
11	go to the Monday of the third week, but	
12	not past that.	
13	MR. TIEGER: Okay. And,	
14	Ms. Williams, you raised your hand as	
15	well?	
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR WILLIAMS: I'm	
17	not getting paid.	
18	MR. TIEGER: Okay. That is a	
19	hardship. I mean, that's very important	
20	to everybody really. Can you tell me	
21	about that a little bit?	
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR WILLIAMS: The	
23	job my job requires that you come to	
24	jury duty but they don't pay you.	
25	MR. TIEGER: Okay. So if it went	
	II	

into extra time that would be a hardship 1 2 to you and your family? 3 PROSPECTIVE JUROR WILLIAMS: Uh-huh. 4 5 MR. TIEGER: Okay. Mr. Fitzgerald? 6 PROSPECTIVE JUROR FITZGERALD: Ι 7 have a business trip planned for the third week. 8 9 MR. TIEGER: And what day would 10 that be? 11 PROSPECTIVE JUROR FITZGERALD: Ι 12 believe Wednesday or Thursday. 13 THE COURT: We are going to ask you 14 to use your stage voice, if you don't 15 mind, in responding. Not the inside 16 voice, but your stage voice. 17 MR. TIEGER: Everybody back here 18 pretty much okay? Again, we are -- this 19 is going to be over next week, but you 20 hate to promise that and if it doesn't 21 you don't deliver on it, so there is a 22 small chance it could go into that last 23 I know we could talk about this a week. 24 little bit and everybody is always scared 25 of the snow. And as I said, we are

probably going to stop around somewhere between four and 4:30 today. And as a result, we may not have the jury picked today because of that, we really can't start up again until all 30 of you are present. And if there is a weather emergency tomorrow and 28 of you make it and two can't, we really can't do anything.

So as a result, I think we are going to maybe try to keep an eye on the weather forecast the next couple hours.

But the plan is possibly to take tomorrow off because it wouldn't be right to ask you to come down. It's enough to ask you to do what you're doing and sit on a murder trial, but to ask you to come down in the middle of a snow storm and then go through this and then have all the problems getting here and getting home, we may take tomorrow off and ask you to come back Wednesday.

The other issue is that I think there is a conflict that one of us has Thursday morning. So on Thursday we

wouldn't start until probably 12 to 1:00 in the afternoon. And then Monday of next week is Martin Luther King Day where the courthouse is closed. So there are definitely a few days that we are not going to be in session.

Other than that, which is pretty much, basically what we ask of jurors is that we have everybody's full attention on a case. And by that, I always mean, does anybody have a medical issue, a work issue, a child issue or any kind of family issue that would prevent them from sitting as a juror on this case with the schedule that we just talked about?

For instance, can everybody hear okay, as Judge Allen talked about?

Because it's pretty frequent that you get a juror with a hearing problem that really can't make out what, more importantly, the witnesses are saying.

Is everybody okay with hearing?

Can everybody sit for several hours at a time? I know there is a lot of people with bad backs. Let's see, Ms --

1 PROSPECTIVE JUROR KRIEG: Krieg. 2 MR. TIEGER: Krieg. Do you have 3 a -- is it a --PROSPECTIVE JUROR KREIG: 4 I have a 5 couple of bad discs and spinal stenosis. 6 MR. TIEGER: Okay. And I know that 7 hard benches that we're so nice to let 8 people sit on for court are pretty 9 unforgiving, but when you get up here 10 they would be a lot more comfortable. would you be okay with that, sitting and 11 12 listening for a couple hours and there 13 would also be a probably ten to 15-minute comfort break, or so to speak, and then 14 15 you could get back up, and that would be 16 our basic schedule other than an hour 17 lunch. 18 PROSPECTIVE JUROR KREIG: Probably. 19 MR. TIEGER: Okay. Thank you, 20 Ms. Krieg. 21 Does anybody have like a doctor's 22 appointment? And I know it sounds 23 strange, but we do ask this because 24 sometimes a juror will be like, well, I can't work Friday at all, I've got this 25

appointment. But nobody has got any 1 2 planned doctor's appointments? 3 Does anybody have other than the 4 people that we just talked about, any 5 work issues where they have got a meeting 6 on a certain day at a certain time that 7 they cannot miss? And in terms of meeting your kids 8 9 at the bus or a sick relative or somebody 10 that you have got to take care of, is everybody okay with the schedule that we 11 12 just talked about? 13 Yes, sir? 14 PROSPECTIVE JUROR SHEFFIELD: Ι 15 have a --16 MR. TIEGER: Can you tell us your 17 name? 18 PROSPECTIVE JUROR SHEFFIELD: Djuan 19 Sheffield. 20 MR. TIEGER: Okay. Mr. Sheffield. 21 PROSPECTIVE JUROR SHEFFIELD: 22 have to take my grandfather to dialysis 23 on Mondays, Wednesdays and Fridays. 24 MR. TIEGER: And what time does he 25 need to go to dialysis?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR SHEFFIELD: I
think Monday is four, and then Tuesday
it's 11 I mean Wednesday it's 11, and
Friday it's four.
MR. TIEGER: Are you the only
person that does that for your
grandfather?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR SHEFFIELD: My
sister, she can when my mom is at home,
but then she just had a newborn so we
would probably have to work something out
when I get home.
MR. TIEGER: So it would be today
at four?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR SHEFFIELD:
Uh-huh.
MR. TIEGER: You would have to be
out of here by four to do that?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR SHEFFIELD: My
mom gets off at three, so I would think
that she can take him today.
MR. TIEGER: And then Wednesday at
11?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR SHEFFIELD:
Wednesday at 11, and Friday at four.

_	20
1	MR. TIEGER: I know Wednesday at 11
2	is gonna be a problem. Let's see, where
3	are we?
4	THE COURT: He's Number 28.
5	MR. TIEGER: Okay. Maybe we could
6	talk about that a little later,
7	Mr. Sheffield. Thank you for bringing
8	that up.
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR SHEFFIELD: Thank
10	you.
11	MR. TIEGER: Ma'am, can you state
12	your name?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR SPENCER: Dorothy
14	Spencer.
15	MR. TIEGER: Okay. Ms. Spencer.
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR SPENCER: Did you
17	read my paper that I filled out?
18	MR. TIEGER: I know I have. Let me
19	go through it real fast.
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR SPENCER: Okay.
21	MR. TIEGER: Yes. Is there
22	anything specific about that with regard
23	to the scheduling that you would like to
24	talk about? About something else?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR SPENCER: Yes.

	21
1	MR. TIEGER: Okay. We'll talk
2	about that later.
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR SPENCER: All
4	right.
5	MR. TIEGER: Thank you. Let's see,
6	Mr. Rozier.
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR ROZIER: Yes. I
8	have to take my mother to the doctor
9	January 19.
10	THE COURT: We can't can you
11	keep your December the
12	MR. TIEGER: November he said
13	January the 19th, which would be a week
14	from Wednesday.
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR ROZIER: Uh-huh.
16	MR. TIEGER: At what time?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR ROZIER: 9:45.
18	MR. TIEGER: In the morning?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR ROZIER: Yes.
20	MR. TIEGER: Okay. Do you know how
21	long that appointment is going to take?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR ROZIER: Going to
23	the clinic, it could take a couple, maybe
24	two or three hours.
25	MR. TIEGER: So you would not be

available --1 2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR ROZIER: The 3 19th. 4 MR. TIEGER: -- the 19th. At least 5 the morning of the 19th. 6 PROSPECTIVE JUROR ROZIER: Right. 7 MR. TIEGER: Maybe all day on the 8 19th depending on how it goes? 9 PROSPECTIVE JUROR ROZIER: Riaht. 10 MR. TIEGER: Okay. Thank you, 11 Mr. Rozier. It sounds like an auction, 12 you yawned, so I won't call on you, 13 Ms. Williams. 14 Now, Judge Allen kind of talked 15 about this a little bit, and there is 16 going to be a lot of questions from 17 myself and Mr. Whalen, Ms. Williams. 18 the purpose is to get a fair and 19 impartial jury. And I know it sounds 20 like we're gonna kind of drum that into 21 you, that we're looking for a fair jury 22 to the State of Ohio, who Ms. Shanahan and I represent, and also for Mr. Jordan 23 24 in this case. So if there is anything in your 25

background or belief system that you think would prevent or impair you from being fair in this particular case, now is the time to let us know because really until you -- you really don't have a speaking role in this trial so that you need to tell us now no matter like how insignificant you think it is. Because once you're chosen, and you're thinking maybe I should have told them this or that, it's really going to kind of be too late.

So anything you think that we need to know about you that will prevent you from being fair? Let's see, Mr. Smith?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR SMITH: What if you heard the case on the media?

MR. TIEGER: Absolutely. That was my next question actually. I was going to tell you a little bit about the case. I don't know whether any of you heard about it. It happened a while back.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR SMITH: I did.

MR. TIEGER: Let me read the witness list first, and then I'll tell

you a little bit about the case just to 1 2 make sure it's the same one we're talking 3 about. Do you recognize the name? PROSPECTIVE JUROR SMITH: 4 Yes. 5 MR. TIEGER: Mr. Jordan? 6 PROSPECTIVE JUROR SMITH: I heard 7 about it this morning. 8 MR. TIEGER: You heard about it on 9 the news this morning? 10 PROSPECTIVE JUROR SMITH: Well, I 11 heard the past case and then I heard this 12 morning news. 13 MR. TIEGER: So you follow the 14 news? 15 PROSPECTIVE JUROR SMITH: Yes, 16 local events occurring. 17 MR. TIEGER: Okay. Let me just read some of these witnesses off. Police 18 Officer Rock. These are all Cincinnati 19 20 Police. Officer Avant, Ballman, Schare, Sergeant Hunt. There is a Victor Davis, 21 22 Jr., who's the victim's son. Kenyada Davis, who's the victim's daughter. Dean 23 Shade, Police Officer Fusselman, Police 24 Officer Glindmeyer, Police Officer Luke, 25

Police Officer McGuffey; John Heile, 1 2 who's a ballistic expert at the coroner's 3 lab; Karen Looman and Gretel Stephens, they are both doctors at the coroner's 4 5 office; Police Officer Odom; William 6 Harry, who's a serologist at the 7 coroner's office; there is a Kenneth Heard, Kareem Gilbert, are potential 8 witnesses in this case. 9 10 And very briefly, does anybody 11 know any of those witnesses at all that I 12 just mentioned? 13 PROSPECTIVE JUROR WILLIAMS: Ι believe Police Officer Rock. 14 15 MR. TIEGER: Rock. Okay. 16 Ms. Williams, how do you know Mr. Rock? 17 PROSPECTIVE JUROR WILLIAMS: не 18 patrols the neighborhood I live in. 19 MR. TIEGER: What area of town is 20 that? 21 PROSPECTIVE JUROR WILLIAMS: Fay 22 Apartments. 23 MR. TIEGER: Is that up in -- he 24 was, I know, in District 1, but he's in 25 District 3 now.

1 PROSPECTIVE JUROR WILLIAMS: well. 2 I never see him. 3 MR. TIEGER: Okay. What do you think of Officer Rock? 4 5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR WILLIAMS: He's 6 fine with me. 7 MR. TIEGER: Do you think he does a 8 good job? 9 PROSPECTIVE JUROR WILLIAMS: Uh-huh. 10 MR. TIEGER: Do you think he's fair with the people that deserve to be 11 12 treated fair and maybe hard on the people 13 that don't deserve it? Are you okay with 14 that? 15 PROSPECTIVE JUROR WILLIAMS: Uh-huh. 16 MR. TIEGER: Okay. Thank you, 17 Ms. Williams. 18 PROSPECTIVE JUROR WILLIAMS: Uh-huh. 19 MR. TIEGER: Very briefly, just 20 like Mr. Smith, to make sure we are 21 talking about the same case. On October 16th of 2008, a 16-year-old by 22 23 the name of Kareem Gilbert shot and 24 killed a man by the name of Brian Austin in a senseless street murder. That's the 25

only way to describe it. On Elder, near the corner of Elder and Republic, the Findlay Market area.

The only eyewitness was a guy by
the name of Victor Davis, who gave a
statement to the police identifying
Kareem Gilbert as the shooter. Then
around two weeks later, on October 31st
of 2008, the allegation is that Ruben
Jordan, who's the father of Kareem
Gilbert -- Kareem Gilbert, if you
remember, is the shooter of Brian Austin.

The allegation is that the defendant in that case, Kareem Gilbert, his dad shot and killed Victor Davis, basically right on the same corner, to prevent his son from being convicted of the murder of Mr. Austin.

Okay. Mr. Smith, is this the case that you heard about?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR SMITH: It's the same case but they said it a little differently.

MR. TIEGER: Okay. That's one of things that I know Judge Allen will tell

you, and even though there is no cameras or reporters in here now, probably during the trial, there will be cameras and definitely Enquirer reporters reporting on this case. So she -- the Court will tell you and order you not to read any newspaper accounts of this or watch any TV stories on this because a lot of times the news really doesn't get it exactly right.

The basic rule on publicity then is that you have to disregard anything that you may have heard about the case, and base your decision -- what's gonna happen, there will be witnesses sworn in. They will sit in this chair and then tell you what they know, if anything, about the case, and then you'll base your decision on their testimony and then any exhibits that you would get to consider taking in the juror room like photos, documents, things like that.

In between the exhibits and the testimony, you will decide whether Ms.

Shanahan and I proved our case, that he

did it, or we didn't prove our case. But it can't be based on what the media says. Everybody good with that?

Other than Mr. Smith, has anybody else heard of this case other than what I just said? It's been a while since it happened. There hasn't been a lot in the media recently on it.

Okay. Every case is unique and this is no exception. In a lot of cases the identity of the defendant is known. In that, let's say in a murder trial, the issue is self-defense. The person is saying I did it but I had to do it because I was gonna be killed, or like in a date-rape case, the issue is she and I had sex even though she says it's rape, I say we had sex and she consented, so it's really -- the person who had the sex, it's not in dispute. The dispute is whether there was force or not or whether there was consent.

This is not that type of a case.

Because while everybody agrees that

Victor Davis was murdered, the issue is

the identity of the person who did it, the issue in this case. So it's not like a self-defense or something.

Other cases rely on like eyewitness identification. Have any of you heard that term? Eyewitness ID, that type of thing. Where, let's say you work at a bank or store, a robber comes in with a gun, sticks it in your face and runs out after they take your money. And then the eyewitness to the crime, whether it's the bank teller or the store clerk says -- maybe looks at some photos or something like that, and says that's the person that did it. Have any of you heard of that type of case, an eyewitness identification case?

Another type of case relies on what we call physical evidence or scientific evidence. Does anybody here watch CSI, Without a Trace or Criminal Minds? If you could raise your hand, anybody that watches those? I definitely watch those a lot. So Ion TV is on for like four or five hours a night. Did everybody --

2

3 4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

most people, it looks like, watch those shows.

And I don't think I have to tell you that those shows are not -- there is elements of realism, but there is a lot of Hollywood in those. Does everybody understand that primarily that's for entertainment? Because you get a lot of jurors that say, well, why didn't you do it like Criminalist Stokes did it or something like that. Does everybody understand the difference between those TV shows and in the way things really happen in court? Everybody okay with that?

This case is like a lot of cases where it's a combination of eyewitness identification and scientific evidence. And it's also statements of the defendant confessing to somebody else that he had done it. So it's kind of a mixture of a lot of different types of cases.

And just talking about eyewitness identification cases, would you all agree with me that there is different types of

eyewitness ID cases, and one is it's a stranger. The store clerk, the bank teller, a stranger walks in, you have never seen that person before. They come in, they rob you, it's over in like 15 seconds, and you're asked to identify somebody that you have never seen before. But that can be difficult to do, especially if time passes, you look at some photos, that looks like him. And, you know, sometimes that does get a little bit questionable.

The other type of eyewitness ID case is somebody that commits a crime that you know. They're friends, family, whatever they are, but a crime is committed and you know that person. You knew them before the crime occurred, and you're identifying somebody that you know. Does everybody agree that is a different type of identification?

And if it's somebody you know, would you agree that the danger is not really misidentifying them. What you have to do is look at their credibility

or believability as to why -- why would 1 2 that person say that this other person 3 that they know committed a crime? It's 4 obvious that they know who it is. So 5 there is no danger, like you got the 6 wrong guy, but would you look at what's 7 their motive or why would they say that? 8 Ms. McKeehan, could you talk to me 9 a little bit about that? 10 PROSPECTIVE JUROR MCKEEHAN: Why I would look at the background, well, not 11 12 exactly the background, but what their 13 motive was for saying that because 14 sometimes people lie. 15 MR. TIEGER: Okay. 16 PROSPECTIVE JUROR MCKEEHAN: That's 17 -- I think we have all been in a 18 situation where we know someone is lying. 19 MR. TIEGER: Okay. 20 PROSPECTIVE JUROR MCKEEHAN: 21 take into account why they are saying 22 what they are saying, what their 23 relationship is with the other person and 24 what they have to gain out of a yes or 25 no.

MR. TIEGER: Okay. And I don't want it put you on the spot, but did you have a specific incident in mind where somebody said something about you or somebody that you know?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR MCKEEHAN: Yeah, people say things about me all the time.

MR. TIEGER: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR MCKEEHAN: Yeah.

MR. TIEGER: Well, what type of thing would somebody say that you could think of that to -- let us know that people might have said that you did something that you didn't do?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR MCKEEHAN: Well,

I do dog rescue, and quite often someone

will say that I made a bad choice in

where I put a dog, or that I didn't vet

my dog properly or do something when I

know I did. And it could be because they

didn't like the rescue, or they don't -
they have a different belief about dog

rescues than we do, or they have

different criteria of how they think the

dog should be vetted, and it could be

just totally wrong, that they are mistaken.

MR. TIEGER: Tell me about the dog rescue. What do you do? It sounds like all I do is watch TV, but I watch Animal Planet and they have that on Animal Planet.

prospective juror Mckeehan: It's my hobby. I take dogs that are going to be euthanized and we pull them out of shelters and we get them ready for adoption and then every weekend we have an adoption event where we match the dogs with potential homes.

MR. TIEGER: Okay. Ms. Shanahan is starting to like you a lot because she loves dogs, so I know that you just made a friend in Megan. But when you say vetted wrong, what does that mean?

people vet their dogs to the t's. I mean, they get their teeth cleaned, and, you know, we do basic shots and basic vetting, but some people go beyond that. You know, they do cosmetic on their dog.

1	They will do, like I said, dentals. They
2	do all kinds of other grooming aspects to
3	the dog. People have different ideas on
4	how far to go.
5	MR. TIEGER: So somebody might say
6	that you did or didn't do something with
7	regard to a dog rescue that you knew that
8	you did everything that you should have
9	done and it was done correctly?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR MCKEEHAN: Yes.
11	Yes.
12	MR. TIEGER: Okay. Does anybody
13	have any other thoughts on that?
14	Ms. Coffman, do you have any
15	thoughts on that at all?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR COFFMAN: No, I
17	don't. I agree with her.
18	MR. TIEGER: Okay. If somebody
19	came in and that person was known to the
20	person and said that that person did
21	something, you would look at it as
22	Ms. McKeehan said the
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR COFFMAN: The
24	reason, why they would say that I would
25	probably before I would believe it, I

would look into the question why should I believe it.

THE COURT: Speak up a little bit.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR COFFMAN: I would
look into the reason why they said the
person said that.

MR. TIEGER: Okay. And in this case -- sorry to put you all in a vacuum here. Kareem Gilbert, who is the -- I think he's now probably 18 or so, but at the time he was 16. He's going to testify against his own father in this case. And he was originally charged with the murder of Mr. Austin and Mr. Davis, and then prior to his trial Mr. Gilbert told us, Ms. Shanahan, myself and the Cincinnati Police, that, yes, I did shoot and kill Mr. Austin, I did that. But that I did not shoot and kill Victor Davis. I was there but my father shot and killed Mr. Davis.

And in exchange for his cooperation in this case, he pled guilty to being responsible for the death of Mr. Austin, and he received an 18-year prison

sentence, and that's an 18-year sentence 1 2 where you get no credit for good time or 3 lighter sentences or anything. He has to 4 serve a full 18 years in the 5 penitentiary. And in exchange for that, 6 his agreement with Ms. Shanahan and 7 myself and the Cincinnati Police was that 8 he testify truthfully in this case 9 against Mr. Jordan, Mr. Fitzgerald. How 10 do you feel about that? 11 PROSPECTIVE JUROR FITZGERALD: Ι guess I don't have a -- I don't know how 12 13 to feel. 14 MR. TIEGER: okay. 15 PROSPECTIVE JUROR FITZGERALD: 16 whole thing makes me a little nervous, to 17 be honest with you. 18 MR. TIEGER: Can you tell me why? 19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR FITZGERALD: 20 never been in a courtroom. 21 MR. TIEGER: Okay. The whole 22 process you mean. Okay. And I think everybody is super nervous because Ms. 23 24 Shanahan and I have been doing this for a 25 long time, and you're here, you know,

under the bright lights with the court reporter and we're trying to go into, you know, your feelings about things, and it is kind of nerve-racking. And we apologize for that but there is a lot at stake for not only Mr. Jordan but for the family of Mr. Davis and the community in general.

But in terms of the witness cooperation, that he could have gotten more time than the 18 years had he gone to trial, in exchange for that sentence and his truthful testimony, he's testifying against his father. Does anybody think or can we talk about, you know, because he could have gotten more time, because there is this so-called plea deal reached with him that they are just not going to buy or believe what he's going to say?

Ms. Binkley?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR BINKLEY: Yeah, I have no problem with that. And like him, this is all very intimidating right now, but, no, I have no problem with that.

MR. TIEGER: Okay. Mr. Rozier? 1 2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR ROZIER: None at 3 all. 4 MR. TIEGER: Okay. And, 5 Mr. Rozier, could you tell me what your 6 feelings on that were? For instance, 7 when a co-defendant, so to speak, gets a 8 lessor sentence and agrees to testify, 9 obviously, he knows his father, so the 10 danger really isn't in misidentification. 11 It's of maybe does anybody --12 PROSPECTIVE JUROR ROZIER: Could be 13 that he's loyal to his father. 14 THE COURT: Can you repeat that 15 louder. 16 PROSPECTIVE JUROR ROZIER: I said 17 18 THE COURT: And the reason -- wait 19 a minute. The reason we are asking you 20 to repeat or get louder is because the 21 court reporter is faithfully recording 22 every syllable that she hears and that's 23 one of the reasons. 24 PROSPECTIVE JUROR ROZIER: Okay. Ι 25 just -- what I was saying basically was

1	he got to testify against his father,
2	right? So by him testifying against his
3	father that would make his terms come up
4	lighter.
5	MR. TIEGER: Well, right. He's
6	there is no turning back from the 18.
7	The 18 is the bottom line what he got for
8	what we say is telling the truth about
9	what actually happened.
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR ROZIER: But he
11	could be lying still.
12	MR. TIEGER: And that's what I
13	wanted to ask you. Does anybody feel
14	that because Ms. Shanahan and I made this
15	agreement with him that you're going to
16	have a hard time really believing him
17	because of the plea deal that was reached
18	between Ms. Shanahan, myself and
19	Mr. Gilbert?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR ROZIER: I
21	wouldn't have a hard time believing it.
22	MR. TIEGER: Okay. But can you
23	elaborate on that a little bit?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR ROZIER: Well,
25	pretty tough.

MR. TIEGER: Well, what I'm saying is he's going to -- whether it's late this week or early next week, come in here and sit in this chair and tell you that I shot and killed a guy for basically no reason. And I was with my dad when he shot and killed Victor Davis. Now he's going to be an admitted killer, and he's an admitted killer that got an 18-year prison sentence. Do any of you think that, you know, God, this kid, I mean he's killed a guy on the street. Mr. Smith?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR SMITH: Yeah, I could look at him as a liar because he might not like his father.

MR. TIEGER: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR SMITH: So that could be an option in my mind right there.

MR. TIEGER: Yes, sir. Would
you -- for instance, if myself or Ms.
Shanahan, Ms. Williams or Mr. Whalen
asked him, Mr. Gilbert, do you like your
dad? Absolutely. In fact, I told him

what I did. We were close and he --1 2 whatever he says, or he might say he 3 doesn't like him because of various 4 reasons. 5 I guess what I'm getting at, can 6 all of you treat Mr. Gilbert fairly while 7 looking at why he may say what he's 8 saying? Would any of you discount him, 9 look, he's killed a guy for no reason? 10 PROSPECTIVE JUROR ROZIER: I would, 11 because he killed somebody. 12 MR. TIEGER: What? 13 PROSPECTIVE JUROR ROZIER: Because 14 he committed murder already. That means 15 he could lie about anybody because he 16 already killed someone. 17 MR. TIEGER: And how would that --18 so you would have -- it would be 19 difficult for you to really --20 PROSPECTIVE JUROR ROZIER: Believe 21 him at all because he is a murderer. 22 MR. TIEGER: Okay. And this is 23 kind of why we go through this 24 questioning because there is no right or 25 wrong answers. Nobody is in any trouble

for this. People are excused from jury service for a variety of reasons every day. And if this is a type of case that you can't be on, I'm sure there is another type of case that you could, with different witnesses and different facts. So, that's why we ask this.

If you have got a -- I mean, he said he did it. He'll tell you that he did it, so he is a killer.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR ROZIER: He's a killer but he's also a liar too because he's a murderer. It's just as simple as that. Why would you go out and murder somebody innocently for no reason at all? To me, you're a liar right off the top because you're doing something stupid anyway.

MR. TIEGER: Would it be fair to say then that would prevent or substantially impair you from being a fair juror in this case because he is a very important witness in our case?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR ROZIER: Yeah, I will say that. Yeah.

1	MR. TIEGER: Okay. Judge, I don't
2	know how you do the
3	THE COURT: Well, when both of you
4	are finished. I mean, the defense might
5	want to rehabilitate him. I don't know
6	whether you want
7	MR. TIEGER: I guess I would ask
8	that he be excused for cause.
9	THE COURT: I'm gonna withhold
10	until all sides have a chance to question
11	him, but we will
12	MR. TIEGER: Okay.
13	THE COURT: make that motion at
14	the right time.
15	MR. TIEGER: I really appreciate
16	your honesty, Mr. Rozier, because that is
17	extremely important. It's better that we
18	ask that now, because if we didn't know
19	what your thoughts were that basically
20	you could not give Mr. Gilbert a fair
21	hearing, right?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR ROZIER: No,
23	because he a murderer. I'm not going to
24	believe no murderers.
25	MR. TIEGER: Gotcha. Let's talk

about what Mr. Rozier said, because, obviously, that's extremely important in what we talked about. Does anybody feel like Mr. Rozier feels? Mr. Smith?

prospective juror smith: well, not just that he's a murderer, but to do something senseless. And then I have seen a lot of guys who do stuff and just they want to drag everybody down with them, so I don't know if this guy is going to be truthful. He might be a good liar.

MR. TIEGER: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR SMITH: It's kind of hard -- it's kind of hard to walk in and say, yeah, I'm looking at the guy with a blank mind and I'm going to give him a fair opportunity. We already know he's got these strikes against him already. So to be honest, you know, I don't think I could look at him fairly.

MR. TIEGER: Your personal views would prevent or substantially impair you from being fair in this particular case?

Yes.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR SMITH:

MR. TIEGER: On that issue? 1 2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR SMITH: Yes. 3 MR. TIEGER: Thank you, Mr. Smith. 4 Does anybody else feel like that? 5 Anybody up here -- let's see, 6 Ms. McKinney, how do you feel about that? 7 PROSPECTIVE JUROR MCKINNEY: Honestly, I feel differently because we 8 9 are here to hear -- we are here now to hear different facts from both sides, and 10 honestly I don't know him, I don't know 11 12 what his past was or anything like that. 13 Well, I know he did kill someone, but we 14 are here to hear what he has to say, just 15 hear both sides, get the facts and then 16 make -- you know, make a judgment. 17 can't judge him on what he does. 18 MR. TIEGER: Okay. Thanks, 19 Ms. McKinney. 20 Ms. Heintz, could you talk to me a 21 little bit about that? 22 PROSPECTIVE JUROR HEINTZ: I'm the 23 I'm willing to sit here, listen same. 24 and take everything as it is. 25 MR. TIEGER: Other than Mr. Smith

and Mr. Rozier, does everybody feel like 1 2 Ms. McKinney and Ms. Heintz pretty much? 3 Does anybody back here -- does anybody 4 have trouble going in with Mr. Gilbert's 5 testimony? 6 Let's see, Ms. Spencer. Ms. 7 Spencer, did you feel like Mr. Rozier and 8 Mr. Smith? 9 PROSPECTIVE JUROR SPENCER: 10 not that. It's -- to be perfectly 11 honest, I have a son in prison. 12 MR. TIEGER: Yes, ma'am. 13 PROSPECTIVE JUROR SPENCER: 14 it's like going through this all over 15 again. And no matter what was said, I 16 will probably relate it to myself, you 17 know. MR. TIEGER: Yes, ma'am. 18 19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR SPENCER: And it 20 will be hard. I don't think I could 21 really be honest because I'm gonna put it 22 back to me and my son. 23 MR. TIEGER: Thank you, 24 Ms. Spencer. Basically, just to elaborate a little bit, there is a lot of 25

Cincinnati street murders. Is everybody aware of that? And do all of you know it's very difficult to get witness cooperation in these cases? Has anybody heard that? Has anybody heard that there is a fear of testifying, because no matter what I tell somebody, don't worry, you'll be safe, either they have to move back in that neighborhood or they have got people that live in that neighborhood where the shooter is? Does everybody understand that?

As I said, Kareem Gilbert is not the most articulate person in the world, and I think I told you he is a dangerous person that deserves to be locked up. There is no question about that. But that at a certain point, do all of you understand that myself, Ms. Shanahan and the Cincinnati Police had a decision to make. When somebody comes to you and says, hey, I did the first one but I didn't do the second one, it was him, we have to make a decision. And we looked at all the facts and circumstances of the

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

second case and we made a decision to do
the 18-year prison sentence. And then we
made a decision that it was more
important for us to catch and pursue the
actual hands-on killer of Mr. Davis. Is
everybody okay with that, with making
that kind of decision?

I think we have talked enough about that. The next thing I talked a little bit about was that there is the defendant, somebody is going to come in here and tell you, Mr. Heard, that Mr. Jordan confessed to him that he had done it. And Mr. Heard at the time was a drug dealer. Okay. It's never going to end, I know. A lot of bomb shells. anyway, he is a drug dealer. He's going to tell you back when this was going on he was selling crack cocaine in Avondale around the Burnet Avenue area. And he's going to come in here and tell you very specifically that the defendant told him that he killed Victor Davis to protect his son.

Now, does anybody -- kind of like

what we talked about with Kareem Gilbert, because somebody is a drug dealer -- in fact, he's going to tell you that he was Mr. Jordan's supplier, that, you know what, he's a drug dealer, that's pretty much it. Like his credibility is shot with me?

Mr. Burke, how do you feel about that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR BURKE: You have to -- I don't automatically disconsider -- I mean, I don't approve of drug dealing, but just because they are a drug dealer doesn't necessarily mean they are automatically a habitual liar. I would have to hear the whole facts, everybody's story to see how things fit and how people explain why they did the things. I can't just pick a class of people out and say I don't believe anything they say.

MR. TIEGER: Okay. Ms. Bessey?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR BESSEY: I don't
see what would be in it for him to lie
because he said --

THE COURT: Ma'am, can you just 1 2 keep your voice up, please? 3 PROSPECTIVE JUROR BESSEY: I don't 4 think there is anything in it for him to 5 lie. 6 MR. TIEGER: Okay. Mrs. Williams? 7 PROSPECTIVE JUROR WILLIAMS: 8 did you find out he was a drug dealer? 9 MR. TIEGER: Well, he --10 PROSPECTIVE JUROR WILLIAMS: Is he trying to cover -- well, he claims he's 11 trying to cover up what he did because he 12 13 told you he was a drug dealer. But did 14 he come forward and tell you that he did 15 it, or did he get in trouble and now he's 16 trying to say that, you know? 17 MR. TIEGER: He's trying to like 18 get case consideration? 19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR WILLIAMS: Yeah. 20 MR. TIEGER: No, this is not one 21 where there is any case consideration, so 22 he just came forward? 23 PROSPECTIVE JUROR WILLIAMS: не 24 came forward. 25 MR. TIEGER: Right.

1 PROSPECTIVE JUROR WILLIAMS: A11 2 right. 3 Okay. Just because MR. TIEGER: 4 you wouldn't discount that, because he's 5 a dealer he's not looking for anything 6 for saying this at all. Nothing has been promised him or told to him. And you're 7 8 shaking your head. 9 PROSPECTIVE JUROR WILLIAMS: Why would he come forward? 10 11 MR. TIEGER: Well, and I know it 12 sounds corny, but -- and, again, 13 sometimes people come forward because 14 they think it's the right thing to do. 15 PROSPECTIVE JUROR WILLIAMS: A drug 16 dealer? 17 MR. TIEGER: And, again -- right. 18 And that's why I wanted to ask you that 19 because I know, like Mr. Burke said, even though he's admitting selling, you know, 20 21 he could be --22 PROSPECTIVE JUROR WILLIAMS: Right. MR. TIEGER: He thinks it's wrong 23 24 for somebody to say they did it and let 25 their son be the one that's in jail for

it. 1 2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR WILLIAMS: Right. 3 But then he said he did it to protect his 4 son. If his son is already a murderer, 5 why? 6 MR. TIEGER: There's a difference 7 between one murder and two murders, I 8 guess. I guess what the difference would 9 be --10 PROSPECTIVE JUROR WILLIAMS: 11 MR. TIEGER: You're having some 12 trouble with that, I think. 13 PROSPECTIVE JUROR WILLIAMS: 14 MR. TIEGER: Okay. Do you think 15 you could listen to him and treat him 16 fairly? 17 PROSPECTIVE JUROR WILLIAMS: Yeah. 18 MR. TIEGER: Okay. Let's see, Ms. Coffman, how do you feel about that? 19 20 PROSPECTIVE JUROR COFFMAN: I would 21 like to hear it all. I might have -- I 22 mean, I would like to hear it before I 23 decide one way or the other. I have no 24 preconceived notion about him until I see 25 him.

MR. TIEGER: Okay. Ms. Binkley?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR BINKLEY: That's why I'm here, for all of you attorneys to prove or disprove, and I would listen to all of the facts.

MR. TIEGER: Thank you,

Ms. Binkley. Moving on, which I think we have covered this enough. I talked a little bit about scientific or physical evidence. This case does have scientific evidence, and it's something called DNA. Has everybody heard of DNA? Everybody?

And, basically, that's like a biological fingerprint, and it's used for a lot of reasons. It could either point to somebody that is guilty, it could exonerate the innocent. Would everybody agree with that, that's their understanding of it? It's also used for like paternal cases, like who the parents are of a child, and it's used, I think in medicine, for like diseases and try to do research. Does everybody accept DNA evidence? I know when it first started, everybody is like, wow, DNA, like it's a

3

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

bunch of test tubes, like how can anybody like really believe that? But I think it's been around long enough to where it's generally accepted. Does everybody accept DNA as evidence if it's properly presented? Everybody okay with that?

Now, I think Judge Allen told you the defendant is charged with aggravated murder. And basically what that means is it's premeditated murder, is basically But this is not a death what that means. penalty case. So, the death penalty is not one of the options. If the death penalty were one of the options, this would be a whole different jury selection. There would be a hundred or 50 of you in here, all we would be talking about is what your feelings are on the death penalty, and basically with those juries, once you find him guilty, there is a second like mini-trial where we ask for death and they ask for life and then you decide which of the penalties it is.

So that's absolutely not going to

happen. It's not one of the options.

It's not a death penalty case. And if
you find the defendant guilty, no one
will ask you what you think he -- what
punishment he should get. So that cannot
even enter into your deliberations
because the law says that Judge Allen
gets to decide what the punishment is,
and you don't even have a voice or an
input into it. Is everybody okay with
that?

PROSPECTIVE JURORS: Yes.

MR. TIEGER: Now, the case does have police witnesses. And in going through your questionnaires, it looks like some of you are friends with or related to police. The basic rule on police is that you would treat them like you would anybody else. Now some people love the police so much that when a policeman walks up here they're automatically going to believe a hundred percent of what they say.

On the other hand, there is people that hate police so much that they come

up here and they just -- they're not buying it from the beginning. The correct response for those of you that know or are related to police, they are just like all of us anyway, and just like I think a lot of you said, you would listen to them, judge their credibility and make your own decisions.

Is everybody okay with that? Has anybody ever had any bad dealings with police at all? Nobody. Everybody is --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR SMITH: Like traffic stops or something, you know, but nothing to where I was, you know --

MR. TIEGER: Like covered up or lied on or --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR SMITH: No, not on that one before, but it was really a mistaken identity, so...

MR. TIEGER: What happened there?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR SMITH: A young
lady hit the back of my car, and I had
just came back here from California and I
had bought a brand new Trans Am. And
when the girl hit me, I was out of the

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 there. 8 9 10 PROSPECTIVE JUROR ROZIER: 11 12 MR. TIEGER: I'm sorry. 13 happens. 14 15 16 17 Road on my way home. 18 19 20 that, and I apologize. 21 22 23 24 25

car, like of all the cars you could hit, and when the cop came up, he grabbed me by the shoulder and slammed me on the So that was basically after the girl told him he was grabbing on the wrong person. So that was about it right

MR. TIEGER: Okay. Anybody else have any bad dealings with police at all?

Just racial profiling, stopped by them.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR ROZIER: Too many sheriffs in too many places. And I'm talking about less than two weeks ago I was stopped midnight coming up Madison

MR. TIEGER: There is no place for

PROSPECTIVE JUROR ROZIER: I said dude, why are you stopping me? He said I had a clear license plate on my tag. said my flashing tags should be covered up with a clear plate. I'm like they

sell them at the automobile place. 1 2 MR. TIEGER: That should have no 3 role in any case, but it definitely does not have a role in this case at all 4 5 whatsoever. Has anybody, either 6 themselves or a friend or family member, 7 ever been the victim of a violent crime or accused of a violent crime? 8 9 PROSPECTIVE JUROR ROZIER: I have a 10 relative who went to jail. 11 MR. TIEGER: Was that in Ohio? 12 PROSPECTIVE JUROR ROZIER: 13 MR. TIEGER: Mr. Rozier? 14 PROSPECTIVE JUROR ROZIER: Yes, he 15 did 25 years. 16 MR. TIEGER: When was that? 17 PROSPECTIVE JUROR ROZIER: It was 18 the professor who got killed over at UC 19 off campus, someplace off campus at his 20 office. He went in there and robbed him 21 and killed him. That was back in 1977. 22 MR. TIEGER: Okay. Do you feel 23 that your relative was treated fairly in 24 that case? 25 PROSPECTIVE JUROR ROZIER: Yeah.

	07
1	MR. TIEGER: Okay.
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR WILLIAMS: My
3	children's father was a victim of a
4	crime.
5	MR. TIEGER: Ms. Williams, what
6	type of victim was he?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR WILLIAMS: He was
8	murdered in Birmingham, Alabama at a
9	nightclub.
10	MR. TIEGER: How long ago did that
11	happen?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR WILLIAMS: In
13	August of '06.
14	MR. TIEGER: Was anybody ever
15	caught?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR WILLIAMS: No.
17	MR. TIEGER: Do you feel that the
18	police investigated that properly or did
19	everything?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR WILLIAMS: No, I
21	never got into no details about it except
22	Birmingham, Alabama. So, no, I don't
23	even talk about it with any of his
24	relatives, nothing.
25	MR. TIEGER: You're just not sure
	II

what? 1 2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR WILLIAMS: 3 MR. TIEGER: What happened by way 4 of trying to figure out who did it, and 5 you're going to have a family in here, 6 the Davis family who lost a husband or a 7 father, do you think you could be fair to 8 Mr. Jordan knowing that you have been 9 through the same thing as a victim? 10 PROSPECTIVE JUROR WILLIAMS: Yeah. 11 MR. TIEGER: Has anybody ever been 12 confronted with like a weapon, like a gun 13 or knife. Ms. Binkley? 14 PROSPECTIVE JUROR BINKLEY: Yes. Ι 15 used to work at a restaurant as a manager 16 and I was held up for money, and he had 17 his hand in his pocket, so I don't know 18 if I was held up by a gun or a finger. 19 MR. TIEGER: Yes, ma'am. 20 that's pretty common. Like a lot of 21 banks and so forth. 22 PROSPECTIVE JUROR BINKLEY: Right. 23 MR. TIEGER: You have to act like 24 it's a real gun. 25 PROSPECTIVE JUROR BINKLEY: Yeah, I

did. 1 2 MR. TIEGER: Did that person get 3 away? 4 PROSPECTIVE JUROR BINKLEY: Yes. 5 MR. TIEGER: Were they ever caught? 6 PROSPECTIVE JUROR BINKLEY: No. 7 MR. TIEGER: Did that person show 8 their face at all? 9 PROSPECTIVE JUROR BINKLEY: They 10 had a hat on. And to be quite honest with you, I didn't even look at him at 11 12 all. I was concerned with giving him the 13 money and I almost didn't even want to 14 look at him. 15 MR. TIEGER: Okay. Did anybody 16 ever ask you to identify the person that 17 did it? 18 PROSPECTIVE JUROR BINKLEY: Oh, 19 yeah, they tried. I had the police come 20 to my house and draw a drawing, you know, 21 but I had no idea what he looked like. 22 MR. TIEGER: What part of town did 23 that happen in? 24 PROSPECTIVE JUROR BINKLEY: On the west side of town. 25

1 MR. TIEGER: Okay. How long ago 2 was that? 3 PROSPECTIVE JUROR BINKLEY: Oh my 4 God. MR. TIEGER: It's been a while. 5 6 PROSPECTIVE JUROR BINKLEY: 7 been a long while. 8 MR. TIEGER: Okay. And I think 9 Ms. 10 PROSPECTIVE JUROR MCKEEHAN: 11 McKeehan. 12 MR. TIEGER: -- McKeehan. 13 PROSPECTIVE JUROR MCKEEHAN: 14 18 years ago I was robbed on the L train 15 in Chicago. I was the only one there, he 16 just stole a necklace, and that's it. I 17 was like just take what you want, don't 18 hurt me, and he did, he jumped off at the 19 next exit and I made my way up to the 20 front of the cab and took a report. They 21 never caught them. I never heard 22 anything else. I didn't really see him, I really didn't want to look, because I 23 24 wanted him to leave me alone. 25 MR. TIEGER: Yes, ma'am. Sorry

again that happened. Anybody else been through something similar? As you noticed, I have used some graphic terms. There is going to be explicit testimony from some of the witnesses, but certainly the coroner is going to be about the cause of death. And there is going to be photos of victims showing their fatal injuries. Nobody enjoys looking at those kind of pictures and hearing that type of testimony, but it is part of the case.

Can everybody listen to that type of testimony and look at those type of graphic pictures in this case? Everybody okay with doing that? I know some of you are probably like this, because I know I am, I'm like a very curious person. You want to now the answer to everything.

You want to know why things happen. You want to kind of get to the bottom of it.

And Judge Allen touched on this when she first spoke to you, that there are rules of evidence that apply in this case and that there may be some questions that Ms. Shanahan and I have. We may

object, Mr. Whalen and Ms. Williams may object to certain things, and those are things you can consider. You're maybe wanting to know like what's the answer, why weren't they allowed to say it, or there may be some things that Ms. Shanahan, myself, Mr. Whalen and Ms. Williams forget to ask or don't ask that you might want to know. There may be legal reasons that we are not allowed to ask it so we don't ask it.

But what I'm kind of getting at in a long-winded way, saying that you're not going to be permitted to raise your hand and ask any questions of the witness or anything like that. You're basically stuck with whatever the evidence is that comes out in the courtroom. Can everybody accept that? Because there are going to be things that you don't know about the case that you wish you did, but you're going to have to be making a decision anyway. Is everybody good with that?

In this case, like every other

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

case, every case I have ever done, is that there are going to be inconsistencies in this case. Whatever they may be, things are going -- are any of you like scientific or chemists or engineers, accountants, anything like that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR MCKEEHAN: accountant but I work in accounting.

Okav. A lot of times MR. TIEGER: things have to add up exactly between column B and column A or else it just doesn't work. In this case there are going to be things that don't exactly match up. Is everybody okay with that, or is everybody going to be expecting perfection in our presentation? you're dealing with human credibility, human frailty, especially things that happened a number of years ago, memories are going to be different. There are going to be things that are a little bit different. Is everybody okay with that? Does everybody understand?

There's what we call the standard

1

3

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

of proof which means that Ms. Shanahan and I have to prove to you that Ruben Jordan is guilty of this crime, and we have to show you that by what's called beyond a reasonable doubt. And that's the standard of proof, we call it. That's used in every case in the State of Ohio from like the most minor traffic case, like a speeding trial, running a red light, shoplifting, any kind of case like that, all the way up to like rape and murder. It's the same exact words that Judge Allen will read you out of a book that is used in every case in the State of Ohio, and that's called beyond a reasonable doubt. Can all of you accept that definition? Because sometimes on TV you hear the word like a shadow of a Have any of you heard that word? doubt. But it's called beyond a reasonable doubt.

Can everybody follow that rule? I won't tell you what it is, the Court will tell you. Can you follow the law as the Court gives it to you on that?

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The other thing that Ms. Shanahan and I are going to ask you to do is pass judgment on Mr. Jordan. And by that I mean we are going to ask you to decide whether he actually killed Mr. Davis or not. And the reason I bring it up is that a long time ago I had a case, I don't think it was a murder case, I can't really remember, but it was kind of a long trial we went through. The 12 of you go back in the jury room, okay, let's start. One of the jurors turns their chair around, it's not up to me, it's up I'm not going to sign a guilty. to God. I'm not going to sign a not guilty. It's not my place to decide whether he did it or not.

Well, that's an extreme example.

It really is true. And because of that, we had to, a couple months later, pick a new jury, have everyone testify again, and go through the same thing. So ever since then I have asked that question.

Can all of you, when the time comes, do any of you have a religious or moral

belief that would prevent you from passing judgment on another person?

Nobody does?

And by that I mean if Ms. Shanahan and I have proven our case to you, can all of you sign a guilty verdict saying that Mr. Jordan did this crime? Can everybody do that?

On the other hand, if I haven't proven my case, can all of you sign a not guilty verdict? One or the other? Okay.

Has anybody, either yourself or a family member or friend, ever been involved in the criminal justice system either as a victim or a witness? No. How about the opposite where either yourself or a friend or family member has ever been accused or charged with a crime? And by that I mean like, well, let's see, like domestic violence or OVI, DUI, disorderly conduct, theft, anything like that, you, yourself, family member, Ms. McKinney?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR MCKEEHAN: My uncle, he was found guilty of domestic

-	
1	violence.
2	MR. TIEGER: Who was the victim in
3	that?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR MCKINNEY: His
5	wife.
6	MR. TIEGER: And that would be your
7	aunt?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR MCKINNEY:
9	Uh-huh.
10	MR. TIEGER: She had said did he
11	admit that he did it or did he deny it?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR MCKINNEY: I
13	believe he denied it, but he got found
14	guilty.
15	MR. TIEGER: So your aunt said it
16	happened?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR MCKINNEY: Uh-huh.
18	MR. TIEGER: She said that he
19	abused her, hit her. He said he didn't
20	do it but somebody decided that she was
21	telling the truth?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR MCKINNEY: I
23	believe she had bruises. There was
24	evidence to prove that he did do it.
25	MR. TIEGER: How long ago was that?

_	7 0
1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR MCKINNEY: About
2	three months ago.
3	MR. TIEGER: Okay.
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR MCKINNEY: About
5	six months ago. I take that back.
6	MR. TIEGER: Are you close to your
7	aunt and uncle?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR MCKINNEY: No.
9	Well, I talk to them occasionally at
10	holidays and stuff like that.
11	MR. TIEGER: And did you feel that
12	the court system treated her and him
13	fairly in that case?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR MCKINNEY: Uh-huh.
15	MR. TIEGER: You're okay with the
16	result?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR MCKINNEY: Uh-huh.
18	MR. TIEGER: Even though he denied
19	it, there was sufficient proof to say
20	that he did it?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR MCKINNEY: (Nods
22	affirmatively.)
23	MR. TIEGER: Ms. Williams?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR WILLIAMS: I was
25	charged with DUI in '09.

_	7 9
1	MR. TIEGER: And what happened on
2	that?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR WILLIAMS: I was
4	drinking and driving and I caused an
5	accident.
6	MR. TIEGER: And did you take a
7	test?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR WILLIAMS: Uh-huh.
9	MR. TIEGER: Breath test?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR WILLIAMS: No,
11	they drew blood.
12	MR. TIEGER: They drew blood. Were
13	you hurt?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR WILLIAMS: No.
15	No one was hurt, but I was charged with
16	DUI.
17	MR. TIEGER: Did blood results come
18	back and say that you were like over the
19	
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR WILLIAMS: One
21	point over.
22	MR. TIEGER: Okay. Did you enter a
23	guilty plea or no contest plea?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR WILLIAMS: No
25	contest.

_	00
1	MR. TIEGER: Do you feel that you
2	were treated fairly by the police?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR WILLIAMS: Yes.
4	MR. TIEGER: That you really
5	probably shouldn't have been driving?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR WILLIAMS: Uh-huh.
7	MR. TIEGER: Was that in Cincinnati
8	or was that
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR WILLIAMS: It was
10	in Cincinnati.
11	MR. TIEGER: What part of town was
12	that in?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR WILLIAMS: On
14	Reading Road.
15	MR. TIEGER: And where on Reading
16	Road?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR WILLIAMS:
18	Vernon's Place, I think it was.
19	MR. TIEGER: Like Avondale?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR WILLIAMS: By the
21	hospital.
22	MR. TIEGER: Okay.
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR WILLIAMS: Uh-huh.
24	MR. TIEGER: The place where this
2 5	happened in like the Findlay Market area,

1	is anybody familiar with that area?
2	Anything about that that would cause you
3	to be fair or unfair one way or another
4	in this case? And there are a few people
5	back here that I think raised their
6	hands. Maybe if you can just tell me
7	your names real fast.
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR LAVELLE: Sarah
9	Lavelle.
10	MR. TIEGER: Lavelle. Okay.
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR BERNHARD:
12	Christine Bernhard.
13	MR. TIEGER: Bernhard.
14	Ms. Spencer, is it?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR SPENCER: Yes.
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR KEMPER: Sherri
17	Kemper.
18	MR. TIEGER: Kemper. Ms. Decenso.
19	I'm just going to go through your
20	questionnaires. Mr. Rozier, I think we
21	talked a lot, so I'm going to skip over
22	you.
23	Ms. McKinney, you're a pharmacy
24	tech?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR MCKINNEY: Uh-huh.

MR. TIEGER: How long have you
been is that at Mercy Hospital?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR MCKINNEY: Yes.
MR. TIEGER: And how long have you
been with Mercy?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR MCKINNEY: Since
April, about ten, 11 months.
MR. TIEGER: When did you get
through school?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR MCKINNEY: I did
not.
MR. TIEGER: Okay. You're still
it looks like you have two years, okay,
two years of college.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR MCKINNEY: But it
was for education, it was not for
pharmacy.
MR. TIEGER: Okay. And then you
got out of Cincinnati State?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR MCKINNEY: Uh-huh.
MR. TIEGER: And do you like what
you are doing in pharmacy?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR MCKINNEY: Yes.
MR. TIEGER: Is that something you
plan on continuing on for a lengthy

period of time? 1 2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR MCKINNEY: Yes. 3 MR. TIEGER: Where is Mercy 4 Hospital, the one you work at? 5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR MCKINNEY: In Mt. 6 Airy. 7 MR. TIEGER: What type of things 8 would you do as a pharmacy tech? 9 PROSPECTIVE JUROR MCKINNEY: 10 entry, accounting, filling prescriptions, mailing out prescriptions, ordering 11 12 medication as a manager. 13 MR. TIEGER: And you would work 14 with a pharmacist? 15 PROSPECTIVE JUROR MCKINNEY: MR. TIEGER: And you said you're an 16 17 open-minded person? 18 PROSPECTIVE JUROR MCKINNEY: Yes. 19 MR. TIEGER: What do you mean by 20 that? 21 PROSPECTIVE JUROR MCKINNEY: Well. 22 I guess I'm not close-minded. I take everything in and then I don't like make 23 a decision on basically anything. I 24 can't just make one decision off just one 25

1	thing.
2	MR. TIEGER: Okay. Thank you,
3	Ms. McKinney. Let's see,
4	Ms. Messerschmitt?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR MESSERSCHMITT:
6	Yes.
7	MR. TIEGER: Tell me a little bit
8	about yourself.
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR MESSERSCHMITT:
10	I'm 53. I have three children who are
11	adults now.
12	MR. TIEGER: Are you a homemaker,
13	is that fair to say?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR MESSERSCHMITT: I
15	was let go of my job in March.
16	MR. TIEGER: What did you do when
17	you were working?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR MESSERSCHMITT: I
19	was a senior administrative assistant for
20	a clinical research organization.
21	MR. TIEGER: What type of research
22	did you do?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR MESSERSCHMITT:
24	It's where drugs are being invented and
2 5	we would take it from phase I to phase IV

1 to FDA approval. We would be the one to 2 do the studies and see if the drug was 3 appropriately working for that indication. 4 5 MR. TIEGER: And how long had you 6 been at that company? 7 PROSPECTIVE JUROR MESSERSCHMITT: Ι 8 was at that company for three years and 9 another one before that about nine years. 10 MR. TIEGER: Okay. And is this 11 because just of the economy or was it 12 downsizing or whatever, what happened? 13 PROSPECTIVE JUROR MESSERSCHMITT: 14 It was because of the economy and I 15 worked from home for them, and they were 16 located globally, and it's kind of hard 17 to support VPs and that from your HOME 18 when they're in different states. 19 MR. TIEGER: Okay. It sounds like 20 you didn't have a lot of bad feelings or 21 was it kind of a tough breakup? 22 PROSPECTIVE JUROR MESSERSCHMITT: 23 The person who wanted me to go to 24 this company, she's the one that let me 25 go, and we are still friends, and it was

just something that had to be done. 1 2 MR. TIEGER: Okay. Are you looking 3 for something else or you enjoying your time off? 4 5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR MESSERSCHMITT: Ι 6 have a granddaughter so I have been 7 enjoying my time, but I have been looking 8 for something, you have to go to work to 9 make bills, meet them. 10 MR. TIEGER: And it looks like you 11 have prior jury service. 12 PROSPECTIVE JUROR MESSERSCHMITT: 13 Yeah, it was back in, I guess my daughter was about four or five. She's 26 now, so 14 15 back in late '90s or early '90s, 16 somewhere around there. 17 MR. TIEGER: Do you remember what 18 type of case it was? 19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR MESSERSCHMITT: 20 It was a case where a gentleman had 21 stolen something from Kmart, and it was 22 found on him outside the store doors. 23 MR. TIEGER: Like a shoplifting? 24 PROSPECTIVE JUROR MESSERSCHMITT: 25 Yeah, like it was a camera or radio --

1	alarm clock, in fact, I think it was.
2	MR. TIEGER: Good memory.
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR MESSERSCHMITT:
4	Thank you.
5	MR. TIEGER: And were you able to
6	deliberate with other jurors and reach a
7	verdict in that case?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR MESSERSCHMITT:
9	Yes, we were.
10	MR. TIEGER: Thank you,
11	Ms. Messerschmitt.
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR MESSERSCHMITT:
13	Uh-huh.
14	MR. TIEGER: I see, Ms. Williams,
15	it's Barrington of Oakley. Where is
16	that?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR WILLIAMS: It's
18	assisted living, like a nursing home. I
19	work in the cafeteria.
20	MR. TIEGER: How long have you been
21	with Barrington?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR WILLIAMS: Well,
23	I have been doing dietary for about ten
24	years, but I have been there since last
2 5	year in June.

1	MR. TIEGER: What type of things do
2	you do as a dietary aide?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR WILLIAMS: Like
4	prepare the food. I clean.
5	MR. TIEGER: Okay. How many
6	people? Is it for elderly people?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR WILLIAMS: Uh-huh.
8	MR. TIEGER: Okay. You said is it
9	assisted living?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR WILLIAMS: Uh-huh.
11	MR. TIEGER: So they can kind of
12	get along on their own?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR WILLIAMS: Uh-huh.
14	MR. TIEGER: Do you enjoy that?
15	Yeah, you do?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR WILLIAMS: Oh, I
17	love it.
18	MR. TIEGER: Okay. Thank you,
19	Ms. Williams.
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR WILLIAMS: Uh-huh.
21	MR. TIEGER: Let's see,
22	Ms. McKeehan?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR MCKEEHAN: Yes.
24	MR. TIEGER: Let's see, an
25	administrator.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR MCKEEHAN: 1 I work 2 for Greenebaum Doll & McDonald law firm. 3 MR. TIEGER: What is a billing administrator? 4 5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR MCKEEHAN: I take 6 care of all the billing for the 7 Cincinnati and Lexington offices. I send 8 out the billed clients as I contact 9 insurance companies and handle any 10 problems they would have with their 11 bills. MR. TIEGER: What kind of law firm 12 13 is that? 14 PROSPECTIVE JUROR MCKEEHAN: well, 15 they used to be estate planning. They do patents and trademark, very little 16 17 litigation and no criminal law at all. 18 MR. TIEGER: Were are they located? 19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR MCKEEHAN: We are 20 right downtown here in Cincinnati. We 21 have some offices in Lexington, 22 Louisville, Nashville, several other 23 offices. 24 MR. TIEGER: So somebody would do work -- somebody would do work for a 25

_	90
1	client, you would be responsible for
2	getting the bill to that client?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR MCKEEHAN: That's
4	correct.
5	MR. TIEGER: And then do you follow
6	through and make sure it's paid?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR MCKEEHAN: No.
8	No.
9	MR. TIEGER: That's somebody else?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR MCKEEHAN:
11	Someone else does that. I will answer
12	questions about the billing. And if
13	there is problems, I'll contact the
14	attorney and try to work it out.
15	MR. TIEGER: Okay. How long have
16	you been doing that for?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR MCKEEHAN:
18	Sixteen years.
19	MR. TIEGER: Okay. And then how
20	long have you been doing the animal
21	rescue?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR MCKEEHAN: About
23	two years.
24	MR. TIEGER: Okay. What got you
25	into that?

-	91
1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR MCKEEHAN: I saw
2	on Craig's list said West Union needed
3	assistance, their pound did, and so I
4	took up a collection in my office. And
5	one of the attorneys at my office, at the
6	time, she was into animal rescue, and she
7	asked me to take a trip out there and see
8	if it was really as bad as they said it
9	was, and so I did. And I came back and I
10	said it really is that bad, and so it got
11	me into rescue.
12	MR. TIEGER: Okay. Thank you, Ms.
13	McKeehan.
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR MCKEEHAN: Sure.
15	MR. TIEGER: Let's see,
16	Mr. Fitzgerald. You're with Fidelity
17	Investments?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR FITZGERALD:
19	Correct.
20	MR. TIEGER: A managing director.
21	What type of things would you do as a
22	managing director?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR FITZGERALD: I
24	manage corporate 401 plans for 27
2 5	companies, Wisconsin, Michigan and

1	Minnesota, out in Nebraska.
2	MR. TIEGER: How long have you been
3	with Fidelity?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR FITZGERALD:
5	Eighteen years.
6	MR. TIEGER: And I'm assuming you
7	start you had to work yourself up?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR FITZGERALD:
9	Correct.
10	MR. TIEGER: Are you a supervisor
11	of anybody at Fidelity?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR FITZGERALD: No,
13	sir.
14	MR. TIEGER: Somebody that you
15	would report to somebody else?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR FITZGERALD:
17	Correct.
18	MR. TIEGER: And you are given kind
19	of free reign to do what you think is
20	right on particular accounts?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR FITZGERALD: To
2 2	an extent. I mean it's got to be legal.
2 3	MR. TIEGER: Right. Details, you
24	know. Is that in Kenwood or where is
2 5	that located?

_	
1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR FITZGERALD:
2	Covington, Kentucky.
3	MR. TIEGER: How big of a company
4	do you have?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR FITZGERALD:
6	44,000 people.
7	MR. TIEGER: Wow. Okay. And how
8	many in Covington?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR FITZGERALD: A
10	little bit less than 4,000.
11	MR. TIEGER: I didn't realize it
12	was so large. Where are you? Do you
13	have your own building over there?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR FITZGERALD:
15	Yeah, we own about 275 acres out there.
16	We own four building.
17	MR. TIEGER: And what part of
18	Kentucky? Covington you said?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR FITZGERALD: It's
20	southern Covington. I think it's like
21	Latonia, just right outside Latonia.
22	MR. TIEGER: Okay. Okay. Thank
23	you, Mr. Fitzgerald.
24	Let's see, Miss Binkley.
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR BINKLEY: Yeah.

MR. TIEGER: It looks like you're 1 2 at Merrill Lynch. 3 PROSPECTIVE JUROR BINKLEY: Yes, I 4 am. 5 MR. TIEGER: Is that a competitor 6 to Fidelity? 7 PROSPECTIVE JUROR BINKLEY: Yes, it 8 is, that's why I left Fidelity. 9 MR. TIEGER: None of you knew each 10 other before this at all? Do you know each other at all? All complete 11 12 strangers. Okay. How long have you been 13 with Merrill Lynch? 14 PROSPECTIVE JUROR BINKLEY: Eleven 15 vears. MR. TIEGER: And it looks like 16 17 you -- what do you do for Merrill Lynch? I'm 18 PROSPECTIVE JUROR BINKLEY: 19 called a client associate. I work with a group of four brokers and four 20 21 assistants. So we take care of all the 22 paperwork and the clients and opening new accounts and transferring assets from one 23 24 company to another, and issuing checks and taking care of their Visa problems 25

1	and
2	MR. TIEGER: How did you get from
3	like social work to Merrill Lynch?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR BINKLEY: It's
5	very similar. You're just dealing with a
6	different social class of people.
7	MR. TIEGER: Okay. Did you ever
8	were you ever a social worker?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR BINKLEY: I
10	worked for about five years for the
11	Hamilton County Welfare Department.
12	MR. TIEGER: Okay. And now it's
13	called Jobs & Family services.
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR BINKLEY: I guess
15	that's what they call it.
16	MR. TIEGER: Were you up in the
17	Alms & Doepke Building at the time?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR BINKLEY: No,
19	Sycamore, what is it Seventh and
20	Sycamore?
21	MR. TIEGER: I think I know where
22	you mean.
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR BINKLEY: Yeah.
24	That's where we were. It's been many
2 5	years ago.

MR. TIEGER: What did you do for 1 2 them? 3 PROSPECTIVE JUROR BINKLEY: I was the caseworker. 4 5 MR. TIEGER: How did you like that? 6 PROSPECTIVE JUROR BINKLEY: I liked 7 it to a certain extent. It got to the 8 point -- it got to be kind of stressful 9 so I decided it was time to get out and 10 do something else. 11 MR. TIEGER: And it looks like 12 you're happy with the decision you have 13 made? 14 PROSPECTIVE JUROR MCKEEHAN: Yes. 15 MR. TIEGER: Okay. And it looks 16 like you also have prior jury service? 17 PROSPECTIVE JUROR BINKLEY: Yeah, 18 about 12 years ago. 19 MR. TIEGER: Do you remember what 20 type of case that was? 21 PROSPECTIVE JUROR BINKLEY: No, I 22 don't. I know you asked somebody else, and I was trying to remember what it was 23 but I can't remember. It was a one-day 24 25 affair.

31
MR. TIEGER: And I don't mean to
pry too much, but on your questionnaire,
when they asked whether you thought you
would be a good juror, do you remember
what your answer was?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR BINKLEY: No, I
don't.
MR. TIEGER: Okay. I think you
said you weren't sure. I think you are a
little bit anxious.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR BINKLEY: Yeah.
I had this whole thing is rather
intimidating and, you know, a little bit
of the anxiety, but I'm doing fine.
MR. TIEGER: Okay. After having
kind of heard the Judge and myself, and I
know Mr. Whalen and Ms. Williams are
going to talk to you as well, do you
think it's something that you're going to
be able to do?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR BINKLEY: Yeah.
MR. TIEGER: Okay. Thank you, Ms.
Binkley. Let's see, Ms. Coffman.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR COFFMAN: Yeah.
MR. TIEGER: It looks like you're

retired, ma'am? 1 2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR COFFMAN: Yes. 3 MR. TIEGER: And what did you do 4 before you were retied? 5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR COFFMAN: Well, 6 years ago I was a home economist in 7 Columbus, Ohio, and then I took off for a 8 while and then I worked for Talbots doing 9 all their window displays for 25 years, and now I'm retired. 10 11 MR. TIEGER: And a home economist 12 now? 13 PROSPECTIVE JUROR COFFMAN: Home economist. 14 15 MR. TIEGER: What is that? 16 PROSPECTIVE JUROR COFFMAN: They 17 don't even have them any more. I worked 18 out of Columbus, at a gas company and we 19 would teach women how to use their gas appliances. We went to their home and 20 21 showed them what to do, and it's just a 22 whole other way of life years ago. 23 MR. TIEGER: Who did you work for? 24 PROSPECTIVE JUROR COFFMAN: 25 Columbia Gas of Ohio.

MR. TIEGER: So if you bought a --1 2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR COFFMAN: 3 Anything. 4 MR. TIEGER: -- gas --5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR COFFMAN: 6 furnaces, but just stoves. Well then 7 they had gas washers and dryers, I'm not 8 sure. They had gas appliances, just go 9 out and then we managed phones and told 10 woman how to cook and they would call and tell them how to fix a turkey and have 11 the box in front of us, read what to do. 12 13 I never cooked in my life but read that 14 book, saved my life. It was a great job. 15 MR. TIEGER: I'm glad you said it 16 that way because you could probably never 17 teach men how to do that anyway. 18 PROSPECTIVE JUROR COFFMAN: Μy 19 husband cooks every night so --20 MR. TIEGER: How long did you do 21 that for? 22 PROSPECTIVE JUROR COFFMAN: company, I don't know, five, six years. 23 24 MR. TIEGER: I know they teach that in high school a little bit, the home ec 25

and all that, but that's a little bit 1 2 different than what you do. 3 PROSPECTIVE JUROR COFFMAN: I don't know if they stop -- almost like they 4 5 stopped doing that now. Maybe they're 6 starting up again. 7 MR. TIEGER: I think pretty much 8 now when you buy, it's like they won't 9 even help you to the door. 10 PROSPECTIVE JUROR COFFMAN: You're 11 on your own. We had company cars. We 12 were all called the same name. We went 13 all over Ohio judging contests of all 14 kind. It was a great job right out of 15 college. 16 MR. TIEGER: And then Talbots you 17 worked for, where was that? 18 PROSPECTIVE JUROR COFFMAN: Hyde 19 Park, Kenwood, downtown. Wherever there 20 was a Talbots store I went and did all 21 the window displays. 22 MR. TIEGER: I gotcha. Wherever, 23 you worked on the displays for the 24 stores? 25 PROSPECTIVE JUROR COFFMAN: Yes.

MR. TIEGER: Like a window? 1 2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR COFFMAN: 3 Mannequins. All the mannequins, dressing all the mannequins, dressing anything 4 5 inside the store, doing any displays in 6 any store. 7 MR. TIEGER: How many Talbot stores are there still in Cincinnati? 8 9 PROSPECTIVE JUROR COFFMAN: Well, 10 just in proper, three, but now they are 11 out everywhere. 12 MR. TIEGER: Okay. How long have 13 you been retired? 14 PROSPECTIVE JUROR COFFMAN: 2004, 15 maybe five. 16 MR. TIEGER: How are you spending 17 your time? 18 PROSPECTIVE JUROR COFFMAN: Well, I 19 stopped to take care of my father who was 20 in his 90s. And since he'd taken care of 21 me I thought well, I'll take care of him. 22 And then he passed away in 2005. So now 23 I just do volunteer work, Historical Society type of work, Mary Elders in 24 Mariemont, Elder Home Garden, whatever 25

	102
1	anybody needs I do for free.
2	MR. TIEGER: And your husband is
3	a or was a counselor at St. X?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR COFFMAN: He
5	still is.
6	MR. TIEGER: What type of
7	counseling does he do?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR COFFMAN: He gets
9	the boys into college.
10	MR. TIEGER: Okay. Guidance
11	counselor?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR COFFMAN: Yes.
13	MR. TIEGER: Does he ever deal with
14	discipline?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR COFFMAN: Yes, he
16	has to.
17	MR. TIEGER: Because I know there
18	was the boy that fell off the balcony
19	down in Florida, you know, I know that.
20	I'm sure a lot of students were extremely
21	upset about that, so he deals with the
22	kids about things like that?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR COFFMAN: Yes.
24	MR. TIEGER: Okay. Thank you,
25	Ms. Coffman.

Let's see, Mr. Smith, you're at 1 2 Duke. 3 PROSPECTIVE JUROR SMITH: Yes. 4 MR. TIEGER: What do you do for 5 Duke? 6 PROSPECTIVE JUROR SMITH: I'm a 7 civil engineer stationary. 8 MR. TIEGER: When they asked you 9 are you related to a friend or policeman, 10 what are your feelings, you had put that 11 you don't trust. 12 PROSPECTIVE JUROR SMITH: 13 MR. TIEGER: Okay. Maybe, no 14 trust. You trust police but you don't 15 know any. Okay. Gotcha. 16 PROSPECTIVE JUROR SMITH: I usually 17 let them go their way and I go my way. 18 MR. TIEGER: Gotcha. When you 19 asked him whether you thought you would 20 be a good juror, you had put I don't 21 know, I do not like to judge. 22 PROSPECTIVE JUROR SMITH: No, I 23 don't. Like you, I would like really try 24 to say a person is a certain type of 25 person or until they prove themselves to

_	104
1	be that.
2	MR. TIEGER: Okay.
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR SMITH: That's
4	what I meant by that. I don't just see a
5	person, say, oh, that guy is a bad cop.
6	You have to do things to make me.
7	MR. TIEGER: Gotcha.
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR SMITH: Saying
9	what you are.
10	MR. TIEGER: Thank you, Mr. Smith.
11	Let's see, Ms. Heintz. Let's see, you're
12	in sales?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR HEINTZ: Yeah,
14	I'm actually also in security, but I'm in
15	
16	MR. TIEGER: And where do you work,
17	a department store?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR HEINTZ: I do
19	actually work at three different stores.
20	MR. TIEGER: Okay.
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR HEINTZ: I work
22	in Clifton, I work in Eastgate well,
23	actually I only have those two right now.
24	MR. TIEGER: Are they the same
25	store or different store?
Į.	

-	
1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR HEINTZ:
2	Different stores.
3	MR. TIEGER: What stores do you
4	work at?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR HEINTZ: PacSun
6	and Pangaea.
7	MR. TIEGER: Okay. I know PacSun.
8	And what's the other one?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR HEINTZ: It used
10	to be a hippy store. It's right next to
11	the Esquire.
12	MR. TIEGER: Gotcha. On Ludlow?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR HEINTZ: Uh-huh.
14	MR. TIEGER: Sitwell's, is that
15	still the coffee shop or whatever?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR HEINTZ: I don't
17	really go there.
18	MR. TIEGER: Stay away from there?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR HEINTZ: No, they
20	actually opened the coffee shop across
21	the street and they call themselves The
22	Light.
23	MR. TIEGER: So you go to The
24	Light. And what do you do for the
25	stores?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR HEINTZ: I'm an 1 2 opener/closer. I make the deposits. 3 work alone. I do all the sales, all the windows, all kind of stuff. 4 5 MR. TIEGER: Okay. And you say --6 did you say something about security? 7 PROSPECTIVE JUROR HEINTZ: Yeah, I 8 work at pretty much all the local vendors 9 and I do incident reporting. 10 MR. TIEGER: Okay. Do you mean like if a band came to town you would 11 work? When you say "security," what do 12 13 you mean? 14 PROSPECTIVE JUROR HEINTZ: Yeah. 15 Like -- should I say where I work? 16 MR. TIEGER: Sure. 17 PROSPECTIVE JUROR HEINTZ: River 18 Bend, US Bank arena, the Madison, the 19 Taft Music Hall. 20 MR. TIEGER: Okay. And what type 21 of things would you do in security? 22 PROSPECTIVE JUROR HEINTZ: During 23 the day I actually take care of SAP 24 (phonetic), but in the evening I take care of intoxicated ladies. I take care 25

of the women for the most part or I calm 1 down situations or I write up reports for 2 3 the police or things like that. 4 MR. TIEGER: All right. Would you 5 ever have to testify at all or go to 6 court on anybody? 7 PROSPECTIVE JUROR HEINTZ: I don't 8 because I'm not involved, but the people 9 I write the reports for, they do. 10 MR. TIEGER: What type of things would you get involved in? Would you 11 12 ever get involved with people with 13 weapons or --14 PROSPECTIVE JUROR HEINTZ: No weapons thus far. It's mainly just drugs 15 16 or alcohol or, you know, fights or things 17 like that. 18 MR. TIEGER: Okay. How do you like 19 that compared to working at a store? 20 PROSPECTIVE JUROR HEINTZ: I like 21 that because it's a lot of fun. I mean, 22 it's fun because it's busy, it's quick and I work with a lot of paramedics. 23 24 Okay. And your MR. TIEGER: 25 degree, it looks like you have a degree

in psychology? 1 2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR HEINTZ: I have 3 one last class. 4 MR. TIEGER: You have one more to 5 do. Okay. And it looks like there was a 6 lawsuit that you or somebody in your family was involved, with a malpractice 7 8 case? PROSPECTIVE JUROR HEINTZ: My dad 9 10 used to own an efficiency and they got their reports but never told them. And 11 12 he's a musician with the CSO so his hands 13 went numb so he did that. 14 MR. TIEGER: Okay. Is that still 15 going on? 16 PROSPECTIVE JUROR HEINTZ: 17 that's done, it's over. It was in like 18 2001 or something. 19 MR. TIEGER: Was he satisfied with 20 the results or not? 21 PROSPECTIVE JUROR HEINTZ: Yes. 22 MR. TIEGER: Okay. Thank you, 23 Ms. Heintz. 24 Let's see, Ms. Bessey. 25 PROSPECTIVE JUROR BESSEY: Contact

1	for Internal Revenue Service.
2	MR. TIEGER: How long have you been
3	at IRS?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR BESSEY: Five
5	years.
6	MR. TIEGER: And you have over in,
7	is that Covington?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR BESSEY:
9	Covington.
10	MR. TIEGER: What type things do
11	you do over there?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR BESSEY: I
13	provide my toll free number for wage
14	investment. Small business owners call
15	me about their tax accounts, majority of
16	the time I'm on the phone.
17	MR. TIEGER: Okay. And it looks
18	like you have prior service, maybe on a
19	civil case?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR BESSEY: Yes.
21	MR. TIEGER: And it looks like
22	you're talking about the victim of a
23	crime?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR BESSEY: My
25	daughter.

1	MR. TIEGER: Tell me a little bit
2	about that.
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR BESSEY: She
4	lives up by Standard, a club very close
5	to here, on the dance floor, and there
6	was an altercation and she got hit in the
7	head with a full bottle of beer and was
8	injured.
9	MR. TIEGER: Was anybody ever
10	prosecuted?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR BESSEY: No, no.
12	MR. TIEGER: Nobody could say where
13	it came from or anything?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR BESSEY: No, no.
15	MR. TIEGER: Did the police get
16	involved?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR BESSEY: There
18	was not a police report filed, however
19	Victim of Crimes communicated with her
20	and she just wanted
21	MR. TIEGER: Is she okay now?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR BESSEY: She's
23	fine.
24	MR. TIEGER: Thank you, Ms. Bessey.
25	Mr. Burke, you work for UC?

1 PROSPECTIVE JUROR BURKE: Yes. 2 MR. TIEGER: What do you do for UC? 3 PROSPECTIVE JUROR BURKE: I'm a 4 manager of support services which is part 5 of communications. Earlier you asked if 6 we knew anybody in our impaneled group. 7 I mentioned Mr. Hand and I have met and 8 spoke a few times as we both work for the same communication division at UC. 9 10 MR. TIEGER: Okay. Mr. Hand, the 11 gentleman -- gotcha. Thank you. So you 12 went to UC and now you work for UC. I 13 quess you like UC. 14 PROSPECTIVE JUROR BURKE: Well, I 15 got a Bachelor's. I was working on a 16 Master's, had to drop out of that 17 program. A friend of mine worked at UC, 18 they had a job opening, I thought I would 19 work there a few years and I have been 20 there 26. 21 MR. TIEGER: Okay. And is the 22 field that you work in, it's not what 23 your degree is in? 24 PROSPECTIVE JUROR BURKE: Not even 25 close.

MR. TIEGER: You were going for 1 2 your Master's in biology? 3 PROSPECTIVE JUROR BURKE: Yes. 4 MR. TIEGER: And then --5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR BURKE: Now I'm 6 more pretty much a business manager. 7 Okay. What type of MR. TIEGER: 8 stuff do you get involved in at UC? 9 What's your -- is it fundraising? 10 PROSPECTIVE JUROR BURKE: 11 MR. TIEGER: Or is it building 12 facilities. 13 PROSPECTIVE JUROR BURKE: 14 support services is like a -- it's 15 duplicating art design, photo and video. 16 We are a production department. And I 17 coordinate the billing and the ordering 18 of supplies, and that's why the last, you 19 know, going into the third week of trial 20 would be impossible for me, because 21 that's the time that I have to get all 22 the billing together for the month. 23 MR. TIEGER: Okay. 24 PROSPECTIVE JUROR BURKE: And close 25 out the month.

MR. TIEGER: And it looks like you 1 2 have some prior jury service as well? 3 PROSPECTIVE JUROR BURKE: Yes, I served on one before, about ten plus 4 5 years ago. It was a criminal case, 6 breaking and entering. 7 MR. TIEGER: Did you reach a verdict in that? 8 9 PROSPECTIVE JUROR BURKE: Yes. 10 MR. TIEGER: Folks, I'll basically stick with you guys, and then I'll talk 11 12 to you guys as you start coming up here, 13 that would probably be later on today or 14 wednesday. So thank you folks for 15 answering all my question. Judge, I 16 would pass for cause. 17 THE COURT: You passing for cause 18 still? MR. TIEGER: Actually, other than 19 20 the two. 21 THE COURT: And I'm going to hold 22 off on that because I'm going to question 23 them. And then I want -- Ms. Shanahan, 24 do you have questions you want to ask 25 this panel?

MS. SHANAHAN: No, not that panel.
Thank you.
THE COURT: So now for the

THE COURT: So now for the defendant, would you like to proceed with voir dire, Mr. Whalen?

MR. WHALEN: Thank you, Your Honor. As we indicated before, my name is Bill Whalen. Amy Williams is my co-counsel and we represent Mr. Ruben Jordan. I have got a number of questions. Some of them are going to be directed to an individual and some of them might be a little pointed. And if that occurs, I'm not trying to pick on you. If I don't ask you any questions, I'm not ignoring you, so don't feel that I don't want to talk to you. It's just that your questionnaire or your answers didn't bring any issues up.

The potential witnesses we have is
Leshuande Ramsey, Deshaunta Ramsey,
Ronnel Ramsey, Wooster Osbury, Ron Seay
and Anthony Jordan. Does anybody here
know any of those names? I'm sorry, it's
Ernest Seay.

_	11)
1	Some of the things that I'm going
2	to talk with you about Mr. Tieger talked
3	with you, I'm going to, at least, I hope,
4	a little different area. Is it
5	Ms. Williams?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR WILLIAMS: Uh-huh.
7	MR. WHALEN: Okay. You indicated
8	that you know Officer Rock, I think.
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR WILLIAMS: Rock.
10	MR. WHALEN: Rock. Okay. And he
11	worked the Fay Apartments for a number of
12	years?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR WILLIAMS:
14	Uh-huh.
15	MR. WHALEN: And you got to know
16	him?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR WILLIAMS: No, I
18	didn't get to know him personally, I just
19	see him cruising my neighborhood.
20	MR. WHALEN: Okay. Do you believe
21	that he could lie?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR WILLIAMS: Yes.
23	MR. WHALEN: Okay. Get on the
24	stand and raise his hand to tell the
25	truth and then lie?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR WILLIAMS:

2

3

4

5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. WHALEN: Okay. So because somebody is wearing a uniform and carrying a badge and gun doesn't mean that they are absolutely believable at that time; am I correct?

> PROSPECTIVE JUROR WILLIAMS: Uh-huh.

MR. WHALEN: Okay. Does anybody believe that because an officer comes up with a uniform on and badge, raises his right hand and gets on the stand to testify that you automatically are going to believe that person? And you believe that people -- somebody else mentioned it, and I didn't catch it, said that people come in and lie all the time. So that because somebody took an oath doesn't mean they are automatically telling you the truth, am I correct?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR WILLIAMS: But what he's doing his job as far as patrolling the neighborhood and doing what I have seen him do, I feel like he's doing his job.

> MR. WHALEN: Okay. And you feel

safer because he's up there? 1 2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR WILLIAMS: 3 MR. WHALEN: Oh, okay. Mr. Rozier, 4 you talked about the fact that you can't 5 believe an admitted killer if he comes in 6 to the courtroom --7 PROSPECTIVE JUROR ROZIER: Right. 8 MR. WHALEN: -- and testifies, am I 9 correct? 10 PROSPECTIVE JUROR ROZIER: Yeah. 11 MR. WHALEN: Okay. The Judge is going to tell you, I believe is going to 12 13 tell you that you are the sole judge of 14 the witnesses. In other words, one side 15 puts the witness on, the other side 16 cross-examines them, and then at the end 17 of the case you all go back and make a 18 judgment. And you have to decide whether 19 you believe, disbelieve all or part of 20 what that witness testified. 21 And there is all kinds of things 22 that are going to come into play on that. How a person sits, how they talk, how 23 24 they explain things, how accurate they seem to you. And if Mr. Rozier -- I 25

mean, if the murderer comes in and says 1 2 I'm a murderer but he seems very 3 believable to you, he's very sympathetic, 4 he cries, are you going to take those 5 things into consideration? 6 PROSPECTIVE JUROR ROZIER: It could be fake tears. 7 8 MR. WHALEN: Well, I agree with 9 you, but usually you can tell if somebody 10 is faking tears or not. I mean, you have 11 been around long enough to know when 12 people come and tell you a story, you 13 know whether you believe it or not? 14 PROSPECTIVE JUROR ROZIER: Nine 15 times out of ten I'm not believing them. 16 MR. WHALEN: Okay. Well, all I'm 17 saying is will you wait if he gets on the 18 stand? 19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR ROZIER: I won't 20 believe him period, simple as that at all 21 because he's a murderer, just as simple 22 as that. I won't believe them at all. 23 MR. WHALEN: And if the Judge tells you that you're to hold your judgment and 24 25 to listen to what they have got to say

first, you're not going to follow her instructions?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR ROZIER: I would follow the instructions, but I still wouldn't believe them.

MR. WHALEN: Okay. There are a lot of things that come into play on what a person testifies to, and I believe this young man is going to come in and tell you that his father killed somebody and he saw his father do that. I'm also going to tell you, I believe the evidence is going to show, that he was charged with it, that Kareem was charged with this murder that we are hearing today and then turned around and agreed to testify against his father and they dropped the charge.

I believe you're also going to find out that if he doesn't come in and do it now, then they're going to charge him.

And when they say tell the truth, the prosecutor has already told him he's got to tell the truth, but he's told the truth is that the father did it. Now

_ _

whether or not his father did it or not is something you all have to decide.

But there is little nuances to each one of these witnesses that are going to come in. And with this type of a case, the witnesses that you get aren't from some of the better neighborhoods. And they talked about this occurred around Findlay Market. Does everybody understand that Findlay Market is Over-the-Rhine? Everybody knows that? Okay.

Somebody is going to come in, one or more people are going to come in and tell you that my client committed this murder. I believe when the Judge gives you the instructions, she's going to tell you that he's cloaked with a cloak of innocence. And at this point in time he's presumed innocent, and unless the State proves beyond a reasonable doubt that he committed this murder, your verdict has to be not guilty. Does anybody have a problem with that? Everybody can accept that?

One of the other things that, along with the innocence, Mr. Jordan can take the stand or not take the stand. He can testify in his own defense. Whether he does or doesn't is up to his attorneys. We are going to advise him whether he should testify or not. And if he doesn't testify, the Judge, I believe, is going to tell you you can use that for making a judgment on whether he's innocent or guilty.

Does anybody have a problem with that or is somebody here going to say, Mr. Jordan, I want you to stand up and tell us what happened out there. And if he doesn't, you're going to say, well, you're guilty. Can you all follow the law as the Judge gives it to you?

Mr. Smith, you talked about you saw something on the news about this case?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR SMITH: Yes, I have, in the past.

MR. WHALEN: And you have heard details about the case. Would you agree with me that it would be very unfair to

_	122
1	find Mr. Jordan either guilty or not
2	guilty based upon what you saw in the
3	news?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR SMITH: Could you
5	repeat that again?
6	MR. WHALEN: Sure. Whatever you
7	heard on the news.
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR SMITH: Yes.
9	MR. WHALEN: Do you think that that
10	is fair to convict or acquit him based
11	upon what you heard on the news?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR SMITH: Well, so
13	far what I heard
14	MR. WHALEN: I don't want you to
15	tell me what you heard.
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR SMITH: Okay.
17	Yes or no?
18	MR. WHALEN: Yes.
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR SMITH: I would
20	say yeah, I could probably be unfair.
21	MR. WHALEN: You could be what?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR SMITH: Unfair.
23	MR. WHALEN: Unfair.
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR SMITH: Because
25	of a lot of stuff I heard, you know, kind
	II

of make things look like he done it. 1 2 MR. WHALEN: But the people you 3 heard from didn't see it, didn't 4 participate, investigate it. 5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR SMITH: Well, I 6 mean you want me to elaborate on what I 7 heard? 8 MR. WHALEN: No, sir, I do not want 9 you to elaborate on what you heard. 10 PROSPECTIVE JUROR SMITH: But the 11 point is they kind of made it like his 12 son had accused him and like he was 13 guilty. MR. WHALEN: Well, there is no 14 15 doubt. 16 PROSPECTIVE JUROR SMITH: That's 17 the way I'm saying -- that's the way I 18 heard it appeared. 19 MR. WHALEN: The son accused him, 20 there is no doubt about that. He made a 21 deal with the prosecutors and the police 22 and said my dad did it. Okay. 23 PROSPECTIVE JUROR SMITH: Yep. 24 MR. WHALEN: But what I'm saying is 25 whether he did it or not is up to this

jury to decide that, not up to the news 1 2 media. 3 PROSPECTIVE JUROR SMITH: Yeah. 4 MR. WHALEN: So you agree with me 5 that you can't always believe what you 6 hear in the news media? 7 PROSPECTIVE JUROR SMITH: Well, by 8 his statement, yeah, I know you can. 9 MR. WHALEN: Okay. 10 PROSPECTIVE JUROR SMITH: Because 11 they said that he -- that he had -- that 12 once he admitted that his father did it 13 that case was shut down and boom, 14 straight onto him. 15 MR. WHALEN: There is no doubt 16 about that. The son said my dad did it 17 and they went after dad. 18 PROSPECTIVE JUROR SMITH: That made 19 me assume right then and there that he 20 might have been guilty. 21 MR. WHALEN: Well, do you agree 22 with me that they have to prove beyond a 23 reasonable doubt. 24 PROSPECTIVE JUROR SMITH: Yeah. 25 Yeah.

MR. WHALEN: Okay. And whatever you heard, once you go back with the rest of the jurors and make a decision, you're going to put out of your mind what you heard on the news or are you going to bring that in with you?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR SMITH: Well, based on what I heard in the courtroom.

MR. WHALEN: Okay. And you're going to ignore what you heard on the news?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR SMITH: Yes.

MR. WHALEN: Okay. One of the other legal concepts that we are dealing with, and Mr. Tieger talked with you about some of them, we filed a notice of alibi. And I know a lot of people have heard that on different TV shows, but basically a notice of alibi says it wasn't me, I was somewhere else, and they bring in witnesses to say where they are at at that time. Does that concept of an alibi bother anybody? Do they feel like it's an unfair or improper defense?

Ms. Williams, the look on your face

1 is scaring me. 2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR WILLIAMS: You 3 scaring me. MR. WHALEN: You feel that that's 4 5 not a proper defense? 6 PROSPECTIVE JUROR WILLIAMS: Yeah, 7 I feel like it is. 8 MR. WHALEN: Okay. And if we 9 present that, you'll listen to it? 10 PROSPECTIVE JUROR WILLIAMS: Uh-huh. 11 MR. WHALEN: Okay. And we have got 12 a burden of proof with that, and the 13 Judge will tell you what it is. And if 14 we don't meet that, then you don't believe it. 15 16 PROSPECTIVE JUROR WILLIAMS: 17 MR. WHALEN: Okay. Ms. McKeehan, 18 you indicated in your examination by the 19 prosecutor that people lie. You 20 understand that? 21 PROSPECTIVE JUROR MCKEEHAN: 22 MR. WHALEN: They do all the time. They have done it about you, and so when 23 you listen to a case like this, 24 25 especially with the consequences that are

involved, you understand that you're going to have to weigh that testimony that you have and the evidence that you have and judge whether or not it's truthful and whether it's enough to prove to you that Mr. Jordan committed this crime and prove to you beyond a reasonable doubt?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR MCKEEHAN: Yes.

MR. WHALEN: Okay. And if they were talking about DNA, would you agree with me that if there was a burglary here, and one of the Judge's lamps were stolen and it was found down the hall and they found my fingerprints on it, it doesn't necessarily mean that I took it?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR MCKEEHAN: That's right.

MR. WHALEN: Okay. Ms. Binkley,

PROSPECTIVE JUROR BINKLEY:

MR. WHALEN: You indicated in one of your answers that you're fair minded and you're going to listen to all the evidence.

1 PROSPECTIVE JUROR BINKLEY: Yes. 2 MR. WHALEN: And the attorneys have 3 to prove things to you? PROSPECTIVE JUROR BINKLEY: 4 5 MR. WHALEN: Okay. By the law, the 6 Judge is going to tell you we don't have 7 to prove anything to you for Mr. Jordan. 8 we can sit there and not do anything 9 throughout this whole trial. And when 10 it's over with, the Judge says, you know, 11 you have to go back and make a decision 12 and we do not have to put any evidence 13 on. You understand we don't have to 14 prove anything unless we put an alibi on, 15 we have to prove that, but beyond that we 16 don't have to prove anything. And if we 17 sit there throughout that trial and don't 18 do anything, do you feel that the 19 prosecutor proved their case? 20 PROSPECTIVE JUROR BINKLEY: 21 it's up to the prosecutor to convince me. 22 MR. WHALEN: Okay. Beyond a 23 reasonable doubt. 24 PROSPECTIVE JUROR BINKLEY: Beyond 25 a reasonable doubt?

_	129
1	MR. WHALEN: And you have no
2	problems with that?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR BINKLEY: Yeah.
4	The burden is on them.
5	MR. WHALEN: Okay. Mr. Fitzgerald,
6	you said something about making in your
7	job that things have to come out exact,
8	the figures, am I correct?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR FITZGERALD: No.
10	Well, I think the question was I have
11	liberty to do what I want with my
12	clients.
13	MR. WHALEN: NO. NO.
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR FITZGERALD: I
15	don't actually do I'm not an
16	accountant so I don't have that big
17	issue.
18	MR. WHALEN: But your books have to
19	balance?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR FITZGERALD:
21	Sure.
22	MR. WHALEN: And things have to
23	equal out?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR FITZGERALD: Sure.
25	MR. WHALEN: Okay. Things aren't

that exact all the time. In criminal law, things don't always come out the way -- just the way that they should.

And can you, and I'm assuming you're going to have to step out of your experience and step into a totally different experience and weigh the evidence and have the prosecutor prove it to you beyond a reasonable doubt.

Now nobody is going to tell you that that's .10 or .95. It's a decision based upon the Judge's explanation to you about what beyond a reasonable doubt is. So can you put aside, if need be, any experiences you have in balancing the books and understanding that numbers have to come out?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR FITZGERALD: Yes.

MR. WHALEN: Okay. The prosecutor talked to you about things that you're going to see, photographs you're going to see, the coroner's photograph, a person on a slab. You're going to see a person dead on the street, and it's not a pretty scene, and it has to evoke emotions. I

3

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

don't care who you are. You see those kind of things, you see sympathy for the person that died, for the family that is involved, and what this person may or may not have suffered, but it doesn't mean that Mr. Jordan did that.

And the other thing is the Judge is going to tell you in her instructions when you go back to that jury room, you put aside all passion and prejudice that you may have and you reach a decision based solely upon the evidence in this Is there anybody here that cannot case. do that? Okay. No matter what the prosecutor tells you, no matter what the defense tells you, when you get back into that jury room, the decision is made by you, and based upon the evidence and the instructions on the law from the Judge. That's it. Do you have any problems with that?

I believe that the Judge is going to give all of you notebooks so that you can take notes during the trial. And she's also going to tell you how you can

_	132
1	and cannot use those notes. Will you
2	listen to what she tells you and follow
3	her instructions?
4	PROSPECTIVE JURORS: Yes.
5	MR. WHALEN: MS. McKinney, you have
6	been doing what you have been doing since
7	April?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR MCKINNEY: No, I
9	have been in pharmacy for about ten years
10	but at Mercy.
11	MR. WHALEN: Oh, okay. How did you
12	get into that field?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR MCKINNEY: It was
14	a job I had in high school, part-time job
15	when I turned 18.
16	MR. WHALEN: Something you liked
17	doing?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR MCKINNEY: Uh-huh.
19	MR. WHALEN: Thank you.
20	Ms. Messerschmitt?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR MESSERSCHMITT:
22	Yes.
23	MR. WHALEN: I'm not sure I
24	understand what it is that you do. Can
25	you explain that to me again?

1 PROSPECTIVE JUROR MESSERSCHMITT: 2 Right now I'm unemployed. 3 MR. WHALEN: I'm sorry. What you did do? 4 5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR MESSERSCHMITT: 6 Oh, I was a senior administrative 7 assistant. I worked for a pharmaceutical 8 research organization, and what I did is 9 I supported senior vice-presidents, 10 vice-presidents sales teams who would go 11 out and do presentations to 12 pharmaceutical companies. Those are the 13 kind of things I did, answered the phone, 14 filing, expense reports, travel, that kind of stuff. 15 16 MR. WHALEN: I didn't understand 17 your words about support. What does the 18 support involve? 19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR MESSERSCHMITT: 20 Support means that I have 20 people that 21 I'm in charge of making sure their 22 calendar is up-to-date, that their expenses are up-to-date, that their 23 24 travel arrangements were correct. Make sure they are meeting, that they get to 25

	134
1	the meeting, those kinds of things, just
2	to make their daily jobs run smoother.
3	MR. WHALEN: It looks like most of
4	your family is involved with the
5	automotive industry.
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR MESSERSCHMITT:
7	My husband, yes.
8	MR. WHALEN: And your son is a
9	truck driver?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR MESSERSCHMITT:
11	Yeah, they distribute or deliver Arizona
12	Tea, Red Bull that buy distributing.
13	MR. WHALEN: Were you the one that
14	had the uncle who was convicted of
15	domestic violence?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR MESSERSCHMITT:
17	No, sir.
18	MR. WHALEN: Who was that?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR MCKINNEY: I was.
20	MR. WHALEN: Okay. I'm sorry.
21	Ms. McKinney, right?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR MCKINNEY: Uh-huh.
23	MR. WHALEN: And it appeared that
24	that case was one that was involved in a
25	trial. In other words, they brought

1	witnesses in and testified?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR MCKINNEY: I
3	think she just wife showed up and
4	testified.
5	MR. WHALEN: Okay.
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR MCKINNEY: I
7	don't know all the specifics of the case,
8	but I know he had to do time for it.
9	MR. WHALEN: Okay. And what state
10	was that in?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR MCKINNEY: In
12	Cincinnati.
13	MR. WHALEN: Okay. Ms. Williams,
14	how long have you been working where
15	you're at now at Barrington?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR WILLIAMS: Since
17	June.
18	MR. WHALEN: June of
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR WILLIAMS: '09.
20	MR. WHALEN: of 2010?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR WILLIAMS: I keep
22	saying '09, 2010.
23	MR. WHALEN: What did you do before
24	that?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR WILLIAMS: I

_	130
1	worked at the convention center over in
2	Kentucky. I'm a chef so I do the cooking
3	at the nursing home too.
4	MR. WHALEN: Okay. Do you work
5	somewhere else besides Barrington now?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR WILLIAMS: No.
7	MR. WHALEN: Okay. Thank you.
8	Ms. McKeehan?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR MCKEEHAN: Yes,
10	sir.
11	MR. WHALEN: You were robbed on the
12	L train in Chicago. Would you say it was
13	about six years ago?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR MCKEEHAN: More
15	like 16 years ago.
16	MR. WHALEN: Oh, 16 years ago.
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR MCKEEHAN: A long
18	time ago.
19	MR. WHALEN: Was there anything in
20	that experience that you feel that you
21	would bring to this trial?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR MCKEEHAN: I
23	don't think so. I mean, it was pretty
24	it's pretty stupid of me to be on a car
25	all by myself at that time, that part of
	II

Chicago that I was in. Just stupid thing I guess.

MR. WHALEN: Well, this is kind of on the side, but didn't anybody from the train system say anything to you?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR MCKEEHAN: It happens all the time, I shouldn't have been in the car by myself which I said okay, well --

MR. WHALEN: Well, I don't know that much about Chicago but I had occasion with another attorney who rides on the L and the train stopped at a location and the conductor came back and said we hope you enjoyed your ride but from this point on we can't guarantee your safety. And needless to say, we got off. But I thought it was very nice of them to come back and tell us that, you know, beyond this point it's not very safe. So I just wondered whether or not they were still doing that. But I think it may have been more than 16 years ago.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR MCKEEHAN: I do ride that ride very often, obviously, or

-	
1	I would not want to be in there.
2	MR. WHALEN: Mr. Fitzgerald, one of
3	the organizations you belong to is the
4	Police Cadets of America?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR FITZGERALD:
6	Correct.
7	MR. WHALEN: I would guess that
8	that's because of your son?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR FITZGERALD:
10	Correct.
11	MR. WHALEN: How long have you been
12	doing that?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR FITZGERALD:
14	About seven, eight years.
15	MR. WHALEN: Your wife works with
16	human resources for Fifth Third?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR FITZGERALD:
18	Right.
19	MR. WHALEN: And how long has she
20	been doing that?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR FITZGERALD: Five
22	or six years. She did the same thing for
23	Fidelity.
24	MR. WHALEN: Thank you.
25	Ms. Binkley, I've already talked to you

_	133
1	once. We've relieved some of your
2	anxiety?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR FITZGERALD: Yes,
4	you have. Yes, I seem to be doing well.
5	MR. WHALEN: The fact that you were
6	robbed at gunpoint, do you feel that that
7	would carry over into Mr. Jordan's trial?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR BINKLEY: It's
9	been more than 30 years ago.
10	MR. WHALEN: Okay.
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR BINKLEY: Yeah.
12	MR. WHALEN: You were two years old
13	at the time that happened?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR BINKLEY: Two
15	years old. You got it.
16	MR. WHALEN: You have a son that's
17	a laborer. Who does he work for?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR BINKLEY: Well,
19	what he does, and I can't remember the
20	name of the company he works for, but he
21	maintenances x-ray machines.
22	MR. WHALEN: Okay.
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR BINKLEY: Yeah.
24	MR. WHALEN: You've had a matter
25	yourself that was in the court system?

1 PROSPECTIVE JUROR BINKLEY: I've 2 had what? 3 MR. WHALEN: A case in the court 4 system. It says here you were divorced. 5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR BINKLEY: Yeah, I 6 was going to say, what is that? Yeah, 7 I'm divorced. I have been divorced for, 8 oh my God, more years than I was ever 9 married. 10 MR. WHALEN: Okay. Is there 11 anything about being in the court system 12 that put a bad taste in your mouth? 13 PROSPECTIVE JUROR BINKLEY: 14 MR. WHALEN: Okay. So attorneys 15 didn't offend you or the Court didn't 16 offend you? 17 PROSPECTIVE JUROR BINKLEY: That 18 was all a good thing. 19 MR. WHALEN: Thank you. 20 Ms. Coffman, it sounds like you've had a 21 number of different jobs and you were years ago, in 1982, on a jury in Clermont 22 23 County? 24 PROSPECTIVE JUROR COFFMAN: Thev 25 excused me, so I never --

1 MR. WHALEN: So you didn't sit? 2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR COFFMAN: 3 I have to ask MR. WHALEN: Okay. 4 you the list of clubs or organizations 5 you belong to, and this first one is this 6 TTT? 7 PROSPECTIVE JUROR COFFMAN: Yes, 8 it's an organization of women and we 9 work the whole year to send 10 underprivileged girls to camp and we stay with these girls for a year in hopes some 11 12 day that maybe they will join us or we 13 can make some huge difference in their 14 life. 15 MR. WHALEN: And how long have you 16 been doing that? 17 PROSPECTIVE JUROR COFFMAN: Three 18 years now. 19 MR. WHALEN: And I'm getting the 20 impression you enjoy doing that? 21 PROSPECTIVE JUROR BINKLEY: It's 22 very rewarding, yes. 23 MR. WHALEN: Good. And what is 24 TPHS? 25 PROSPECTIVE JUROR COFFMAN: Terrace

1	Park Historical Society.
2	MR. WHALEN: Okay. You have a
3	child, whether it's a son or daughter,
4	who's a teacher in Dallas?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR COFFMAN:
6	Daughter in Dallas.
7	MR. WHALEN: Is she married?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR COFFMAN: No.
9	MR. WHALEN: Okay. Thank you.
10	Mr. Smith, you and I have talked already
11	so I won't pick on you again.
12	Ms. Heintz, you've had as a
13	result of what you do, I get the
14	impression you have a lot of contact with
15	police officers and firemen, am I
16	correct?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR HEINTZ: Not so
18	much police or paramedics.
19	MR. WHALEN: Okay. But police are
20	not called periodically?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR HEINTZ: They are
22	outside of the gate.
23	MR. WHALEN: And do you have to
24	ever give them reports about what you
25	saw?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR HEINTZ: I'm more 1 2 responsible for staff. I mean I really 3 don't have friends with the staff. If 4 someone is involved in a fight, I get 5 their report and then I take that to our 6 records, but the staff will get the 7 reports separately to the police. 8 MR. WHALEN: okay. 9 PROSPECTIVE JUROR HEINTZ: I don't 10 write anything for the police. MR. WHALEN: So you -- and none of 11 12 the names of police officers that were 13 read don't do anything for you? 14 PROSPECTIVE JUROR HEINTZ: No, only 15 name I know of is the Lloyd, Sheriff 16 Lloyd. 17 MR. WHALEN: Okay. And do you believe that police officers 18 19 automatically tell the truth when they 20 come in the courtroom and raise their 21 hand? 22 PROSPECTIVE JUROR HEINTZ: I hope 23 It would depend on their character. SO. 24 MR. WHALEN: You would do what? 25 PROSPECTIVE JUROR HEINTZ: Depend

on their character. 1 2 MR. WHALEN: Well, you're not going 3 to know their character when they come in. If a police officer comes in and 4 5 raises his right hand and swears to tell 6 the truth, are you going to believe that he's telling the truth? 7 8 PROSPECTIVE JUROR HEINTZ: I think 9 so, yes. 10 MR. WHALEN: You have a brother 11 who's an attorney? 12 PROSPECTIVE JUROR HEINTZ: He does 13 property with buildings. 14 MR. WHALEN: So he doesn't go into 15 courtrooms? 16 PROSPECTIVE JUROR HEINTZ: No, he 17 doesn't. 18 MR. WHALEN: Thank you. 19 Ms. Bessey? 20 PROSPECTIVE JUROR BESSEY: Yes. 21 MR. WHALEN: How long have you 22 worked for the IRS? 23 PROSPECTIVE JUROR BESSEY: Five 24 years. 25 MR. WHALEN: When you say you had a

	147
1	great respect for the law, can you tell
2	me what you mean by that?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR BESSEY: Well, to
4	have in society no right from wrong.
5	MR. WHALEN: I'm sorry?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR BESSEY: I do
7	have great respect for them for that
8	reason. Not necessarily
9	MR. WHALEN: Do you ever think they
10	make mistakes?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR BESSEY: Yes.
12	MR. WHALEN: Do you think they ever
13	lie?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR BESSEY: Yes.
15	MR. WHALEN: Please?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR BESSEY: Yes.
17	MR. WHALEN: Will you hold the
18	prosecutors to their burden that the
19	Court is going to tell you that they
20	have?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR BESSEY: Yes.
22	MR. WHALEN: And if they don't do
23	that, Mr. Jordan can get up and walk out
24	of the courtroom with you all, get on the
25	elevator and go home?

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR BESSEY: Yes.
2	MR. WHALEN: And this doesn't
3	brother you. Okay. Mr. Burke, you
4	indicated on your questionnaire that you
5	are separated?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR BURKE: Yes.
7	MR. WHALEN: There is a divorce
8	pending?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR BURKE: Possibly.
10	We haven't worked that out.
11	MR. WHALEN: Okay. And how long
12	have you been separated?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR BURKE: A little
14	over two years.
15	MR. WHALEN: And you sat some years
16	ago on a criminal case?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR BURKE: Yes.
18	MR. WHALEN: And you don't remember
19	what it was?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR BURKE: Breaking
21	and entering.
22	MR. WHALEN: Okay. And there isn't
23	anything about that that you would carry
24	into this case?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR BURKE: No,

nothing, just the experience of having done it once before, but --

MR. WHALEN: But there wasn't a bad experience sitting down with 11 other people and trying to hash out a decision?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR BURKE: Not

really.

MR. WHALEN: And not a bad experience with the Judge or jurors, attorneys involved?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR BURKE: No.

MR. WHALEN: One of the things that the Court is going to tell you, I believe, is that 12 of you are going to go back and make a decision on this case. And you have to reach unanimous decisions and it can't be ten to 12 or nine to three. It has to be all. It has to be unanimous. Can each of you sit down with the rest of the jurors and give or take, listen to what they got to say, express your opinion and believe that you can come to a unanimous decision? Is there anybody here who feels they cannot do that?

On the other side, if you're in 1 2 there with the other jurors, and the vote 3 is 11 to one and you're the one that says 4 what the other 11 doesn't say, and you 5 firmly believe that you're right, can you 6 stick by your guns no matter how many 7 other people disagree with you? Do you 8 have a problem with that? 9 Mr. Fitzgerald? 10 PROSPECTIVE JUROR FITZGERALD: Yes. 11 Yes, I do. 12 MR. WHALEN: And to what way? 13 PROSPECTIVE JUROR FITZGERALD: Ι 14 could probably convince otherwise in my mind that --15 16 MR. WHALEN: And if they don't 17 convince you? 18 PROSPECTIVE JUROR FITZGERALD: well, they don't convince me, I'll stick 19 20 to what I think. 21 MR. WHALEN: Okay. Can I have a 22 moment, Your Honor? 23 THE COURT: Yes. 24 MR. WHALEN: I have no other questions, Your Honor, and I'll pass for 25

cause.

THE COURT: I'm going to ask Mr.

Leon Rozier, that -- are there any
circumstances under which you would be
able to say that you could render a
decision in this case, sir? Are you
really conveying to the Court that you
find you're just not going to be able to
believe the prosecution's case because of
the main witness and you just can't get
past that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR ROZIER: I can't get past that.

THE COURT: Okay. Then anything you want -- I'm inclined to grant the motion to excuse him for cause. He's honestly relayed that information. So you are excused for cause.

(Prospective Juror Rozier excused for cause.)

THE COURT: And we are going to seat number -- in number 1's place will be seated Dorothy Spencer. But we're first going to take a break. I think everybody would like to have that.

25

Judge, I think Mr. Smith was the same, had the same, I

THE COURT: Well, Mr. Smith, let me do that with you because I began to hear things from you as you were questioned. Do you also feel that you are unable, no matter what the circumstances are, or what the Court's instructions are, that you would find that you could not believe anything no matter what you heard from

PROSPECTIVE JUROR SMITH: I would have more of a leaning towards not

THE COURT: And more of a leaning, and you can't get past that today?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR SMITH: Not

THE COURT: Okay. So even though you have gone through a voir dire process, you essentially feel that you are unable to render any verdict in all if the State -- you cannot find a quilty finding if the State's case relies upon a

murderer or testimony from drug dealers? 1 2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR SMITH: Yeah, I 3 don't tend to believe that, you know, the character is kind of shady to me. 4 5 THE COURT: All right. Then that 6 being the case, I'm going to excuse both 7 Number one and Mr. Michael Smith of you. 8 who is Number nine, and we are going to 9 take a break, and we'll come back and seat the other jurors. We are going to 10 11 break for ten minutes until 3:35. 12 (The jury leaving the courtroom at 13 3:25 p.m.) 14 THE COURT: Counsels, we are going to come back at quarter to four. I guess 15 16 you want to go through the process to 17 seating these last two and adjourn for 18 the day? 19 MR. TIEGER: That sounds good, 20 Judge. Ms. Spencer has issues too. 21 are not going to get through jury 22 selection. 23 THE COURT: No, no, we are not 24 going to get through that, but we'll 25 work, continue it until tomorrow. Ι

don't know if you want to --1 2 MR. TIEGER: Tomorrow, I don't 3 know, I haven't seen the latest weather. 4 MR. WHALEN: I can go through and 5 look at the status. 6 THE COURT: We'll decide whether we 7 are going to break for now or try to get 8 to the last two. Of course, that could 9 go on and on. 10 MR. TIEGER: It does. 11 THE COURT: I mean for cause, get 12 past the cause part and do the 13 peremptories, and --14 MR. TIEGER: You're right, Judge. 15 The next one is another problem. 16 (Recess.) 17 THE COURT: Counsel, I think you're 18 going to make a motion for cause on 19 Ms. Spencer? 20 MR. TIEGER: Yes. 21 THE COURT: And are you going to 22 object to that? 23 MR. WHALEN: Which one is this now? 24 THE COURT: The lady who said her son is in jail and prison will relate 25

back to her. 1 2 MR. WHALEN: No. 3 THE COURT: You're not going to 4 object to that? 5 MR. WHALEN: No. 6 THE COURT: So we can just let her 7 well, let's bring them in and we qo. 8 are -- you want to question the other 9 two, Mr. Burke and Mr. Obst, today or 10 start that tomorrow? 11 MR. TIEGER: Judge, we are not 12 going to get a heck of a lot more done 13 today. 14 THE COURT: No, we are not. 15 MR. TIEGER: I would probably be 16 better just to stop for the day, and then 17 start -- tomorrow is the alleged snow 18 day, Judge. And I think what 19 Ms. Shanahan and I were talking about 20 that probably everybody could get here, 21 but if you only have one or two --22 THE COURT: Yeah, I'm keeping up 23 with the snow day thing because going 24 home or coming in, either way with five

or six inches is not a good thing.

25

MR. TIEGER: You're going to have 1 2 somebody scared --3 THE COURT: Or won't come. MR. TIEGER: Yeah. So if we have 4 5 26 hear and one or two missing, we are 6 not going to be able to do anything. I 7 think it's better to call off tomorrow. 8 THE COURT: Why don't we call 9 Ms. Spencer in as though she's having a 10 private sidebar. 11 MR. WHALEN: Could I address two 12 others issues? THE COURT: I'll have the jury come 13 14 in and do the admonishment. 15 MR. WHALEN: One, I don't think you 16 gave this instruction, but when I went 17 into the restroom Mr. Fitzgerald was in 18 there. I would like for you to tell them 19 that the attorneys aren't going to talk 20 to them, and --21 THE COURT: I'm going to go through 22 the whole thing, full admonishment because we are going to adjourn for the 23 24 day. 25 MR. WHALEN: The second thing is

Mr. Jordan is right here right now. I want him to tell the Court he's going to waive his presence whenever we do the view of the scene.

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I will.

THE COURT: So the record here -we are back on record. State vs. Ruben
Jordan, and not yet -- not yet we'll come
and get you, sir -- Mr. Jordan, do you
want him to stay or can he just stay
there, counsel.

MR. WHALEN: I have told him that he has a right to go to the view of the scene, but I would like for him to waive that. He's indicated he's going to follow my instructions and he's going to waive his presence at the view of the scene.

THE COURT: All right. That is so noted.

MR. WHALEN: Okay. Thank you.

THE COURT: At this time, bring the jury in so I can give them -- to let

Ms. Spencer be released, and then we are going to adjourn for the day, and we'll

deal with the rest of the jurors 1 2 tomorrow. 3 (The jury entering the courtroom at 4 3:44 p.m.5 THE COURT: All right. You may all 6 be seated. Whenever you get seated. 7 back on the record on State vs. Ruben 8 Jordan. We have already discussed the 9 matter of Ms. Dorothy Spencer. 10 State, would you like to make a motion? 11 MR. TIEGER: Judge, I have got no 12 trouble if she's excused for cause in 13 this case based on what she said before. 14 THE COURT: Do you object, from the 15 defense? 16 MR. WHALEN: No, Your Honor. 17 THE COURT: Ms. Spencer, we are 18 going to thank and excuse you for cause. 19 You are released from jury service in 20 this case, and you are to report to 21 whatever she tells you to do, so go back 22 to the jury commission office. Thank 23 you. 24 And for everyone else, we are going 25 to -- we have decided that we are going

2

3

4

5

6

7

8 9

10

_ -

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

to continue the juror voir dire on Wednesday morning at 10:00 a.m. There is to be expected five or six inches of snow, and it will be basically cleared up by Wednesday morning.

So with that in mind, there is something we get called the admonition, and this is very important to you to understand, and that is that you have to remain fair and attentive throughout the trial and throughout this jury process. Do not discuss this case among yourselves until it is finally submitted to you, or to even -- and also you cannot discuss it among yourselves or with anyone else. not permit anyone to discuss it with you or in your presence; other jurors, the party, the witnesses, the attorney, the bailiff, anyone including myself. Do not form or express any opinion on the case until it is finally submitted to you.

Perhaps more difficult to understand is that you may not discuss this case among yourselves until submitted to you, because you're gonna

spend a lot of time by yourselves during recesses and at lunches, so you have to resist that urge to talk. You will receive the opening statements and then the evidence, and then the arguments of counsel and then the law, the instructions of the law from me and in that order.

So it would be unfair for you to discuss the case among yourselves before you receive everything necessary for your deliberations. You must explain this rule to your family and friends since this matter is expected to continue more than one day or even more than one week.

Do not talk with the attorneys, the parties or the witnesses during the trial. Likewise, the participants in the trial must not talk with you. If anyone should attempt to discuss the case with you, please immediately report to either the bailiff -- report the incident either to the bailiff or to my law clerk, Scott Brenner.

You may not investigate or attempt

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

to obtain additional information on this case outside of the courtroom. It's highly improper for any one of you to do this. So that means you are instructed not to read, view or listen to any report in the newspaper, the radio or the television on the subject of this trial. And that would include going -- now we have to include very, very extensive jury instructions about not going on the Internet and Facebook and You-Tube, and there is so many new devices showing up, I think you understand that you Gooale. are not to use the electronic information in any way to affect this trial or to obtain additional information.

And as this trials goes on you'll be getting coroner reports and scientific evidence, and we don't want you to start investigating what all that is about because you're gonna receive everything that both sides feel is necessary for you to make a decision and this Court feels is necessary for you to make a decision. If we think you need to know something

2

3 4

5

6

7

8 9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

beyond what is given, you'll also receive that.

So you have to decide this case only upon the evidence received in the courtroom, that would be from the witness stand and exhibits. And if the parties stipulate, that means that they agree that something is a fact, that this exhibit or this piece of paper, you don't have to question this piece of paper because we both agree that it's a fact, it's true, and we'll tell you when that has happened.

If you should acquire information from an outside source, you must not report it to other jurors and you must disregard it in your deliberations. you should tell the Court, because if you get a phone call from someone, newspaper reporters or somebody connected to this case, you are to report that to the Court.

To be fair, I have to caution you now that you may be questioned later by the Court to find out if you did read or

view or listen to any report concerning this trial contrary to my instructions. And when your duty is completed, of course you may discuss the case and your experiences as a juror, but you are not required to do so. You don't have to. So until that moment you must follow carefully these instructions and control your natural desire to discuss the case except when it's finally submitted to you by the Court.

If during the trial you have a personal problem, you may explain that matter to the bailiff and they will report that to me and we'll decide how we should deal with that. Every effort will be made to accommodate you and we will be taking frequent breaks as mentioned.

There is some instructions that say
I should repeat this every time we take a
recess unless you listen carefully.
Anybody need to hear it again? Do you
think you got this very well understood?
So you need to understand that this
applies to every recess that we take,

_	102
1	every break and during lunch and
2	especially overnight. And so I'll remind
3	you briefly each time we take a recess.
4	Other than that, they do apply to your
5	conduct throughout the trial. Thank you
6	very much and we are adjourned.
7	MR. TIEGER: Judge, one other
8	thing.
9	THE COURT: Yes.
10	MR. TIEGER: Juror Number 28,
11	Mr. Sheffield, I know he had noted before
12	that his grandfather I think is in
13	dialysis.
14	THE COURT: Yes.
15	MR. TIEGER: Wednesday mornings at
16	11.
17	THE COURT: That is correct.
18	Mr. Sheffield, are you here still?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR SHEFFIELD: Yes.
20	THE COURT: Then he's excused for
21	cause based if he cannot be here
22	Wednesday and participate.
23	MR. WHALEN: I think he should,
24	Your Honor.
25	THE COURT: Sir, you are thanked
	II

and excused for cause --1 2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR SHEFFIELD: Thank 3 you. THE COURT: -- sir, because of 4 5 I believe that -- let's see. ΙS 6 there anyone else whose hand went up 7 saying they are not available for the rest of the week and next week? I don't 8 9 recall anybody else. 10 MR. TIEGER: Judge, do you want 11 them to report to the Jury Commissioner 12 then at 10:00? 13 THE COURT: Yes, because we don't have enough room for them. We'll come 14 15 and get you at the right time. There is 16 more space and magazines up there, and 17 comfort. So we'll come and get you, and 18 you are to report Wednesday at 10:00 a.m. 19 I have a morning docket so if they were 20 to come in at ten, avoid the rush hour 21 and we will -- go to the Jury 22 Commissioner at 10:00 a.m. Thank very 23 much so far. 24 (The jury leaving the courtroom at 25 3:50 p.m.)

```
(Proceedings continued in progress
 1
 2
            until January 12, 2011.)
 3
 4
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```